## **GUIDELINES FOR VEGETATION SAMPLING**

Montana Department of Environmental Quality
Permitting and Compliance Division
Industrial and Energy Minerals Bureau
Coal and Uranium Program
Helena, Montana

REVISED 2009

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This document originally included sections on technical vegetation standards, normal husbandry practices, and a host of other pertinent subjects. It was authored by Dave Clark in the late 1990's while he served as the Coal Program vegetation ecologist. Dave subsequently moved on to New Mexico's coal program. In 2003 the Montana State Legislature enacted a significant rewrite of the Montana Surface and Underground Mining Reclamation Act (MSUMRA), resulting in substantial rule changes in 2004.

As a result of the changes in the law and rules, many of the specifications and requirements in the original document no longer applied. The basic approach to reclamation and bond release changed from one focused on vegetation to one focused on post-mining land use, and requirements for monitoring had changed. Many of the rules cited had been repealed and much of the numbering had been changed. In addition, the Office of Surface Mining had dropped its requirement to approve the states' vegetation guidelines, but not the list of normal husbandry practices.

These changes necessitated wholesale rewrites of the technical standards and normal husbandry sections, which have been put into separate documents. However, the sampling guidelines were still quite applicable; for the most part, they needed only reworking to conform to the new rule numbers.

Shannon Downey completed the rewrites to this document, and any errors contained in this version are likely the result of mistakes made in eliminating references to repealed rules or making corrections to conform to changes. The treatise on sampling that Dave originally produced is clear, concise, and rigorous, with a good bit of statistical elegance. His contribution to Montana and the general reclamation community is heartily acknowledged.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	Intro	oduction	1
2.	Sami	pling Methods	2
		Ecological Site & Vegetation Community Descriptions	
		Annual Production	
		Cover	
		Density	
		Utility	
3.	Phas	e III Bond Release Evaluations	8
٠.		Hypothesis Testing for Production, Cover, and Density	
4.	Refe	rences Cited	13
Αp	pend	lix A Statistical Formulas, Examples, and References	A1-A21
	1.	Sample Adequacy	A1
	2.	Homogeneity of Variances	A3
	3.	One-Sample, One-Sided t Test	
	4.	One-Sided t Test for Two Independent Samples	A5
	5.	One-Sample, One-Sided Sign Test	
	6.	Mann-Witney Test	A8
	7.	Satterthwaite Correction	A13
	8.	Data Transformation	A14
	Table	es A1 – A4	\17-A21
Αp	pend	lix B Vegetation and Land Use Rules	B1-B4
Αp	pend	lix C Montana Range Plants	C1-C14

#### INTRODUCTION

The Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) at 17.24.726(1) require the Department to supply guidelines which describe acceptable field and laboratory methods to be used when collecting and analyzing vegetation production, cover, and density data. The following information addresses this requirement. Additional guidelines regarding the use of reference areas and a framework for technical vegetation standards can be found in another document. Approved normal husbandry practices are discussed in a third document.

Appendix A provides formulas, examples, references, and tables for use in sample adequacy and bond release evaluations. Appendix B is a listing of vegetation and land use rules that should be reviewed for compliance. Appendix C is a copy of *Montana Range Plants*, by Dr. Carl Wambolt, which was published in 1981 as Montana State University Cooperative Extension Service Bulletin 355, and is reproduced here by permission of the Extension Service. The bulletin characterizes the longevity, origin, season of growth, and response to cattle grazing of most Montana range plants, and is suggested as a classification standard for vegetation inventories.

Please read these guidelines carefully and completely prior to initiating any vegetation inventories or analyses. A preliminary meeting and site reconnaissance with Department staff is strongly recommended, as is the submittal of a plan of study to ensure that all relevant rules will be efficiently addressed.

The Department has sought to ensure that each of the methods recommended and approved in these guidelines is technically sound and unambiguous. Methods other than those presented here certainly exist and may be acceptable. The use of procedures or practices that are not included in these guidelines, however, requires prior approval of both the Department and the Office of Surface Mining (30 CFR 732.17 and 816.116). Alternative methods that are contained in active mining permits have already received state and federal approval.

#### SAMPLING METHODS [ARM 17.24.304 AND 726]

The field and laboratory methods described below are approved for use during vegetation baseline, reference area inventories, and Phase III bond release evaluations. Sample adequacy must be attained for total production, total live cover, and woody-taxa density estimates of each plant community during all inventories and bond release evaluations (see the Sample Adequacy discussion in Appendix A). Appropriate sample sizes for other specialized monitoring (e.g., status of threatened and endangered species) will be determined on a case-by-case basis, depending on the specific purposes and the vegetation attributes for each monitoring.

Periodic revegetation monitoring, as per ARM 17.24.723, is not required to follow these parameters. Such monitoring should be designed to facilitate management needs during the responsibility period and to confirm that the community development of reclaimed vegetation is tracking toward the Phase III success standards.

All technical data submitted shall include the name and affiliation of the principal investigator, the dates of data collection, a description of the methods used, and listings of all references used and consultations conducted during the study. Raw vegetation data in an electronic spreadsheet format and map data in a digital format shall be submitted to the Department. Consult with the Department concerning software compatibility.

The Department recognizes that each sampling method has inherent strengths and weaknesses. The Department strongly encourages all companies select methods that are best suited for meeting defined monitoring goals, while taking advantage of the methods strengths and minimizing the affects of the weaknesses. To help insure that valid methods are used and appropriately applied and that the data collected for the various analyses are reliable, applicants and permittees must submit a QA/QC plan for review and approval by the Department prior to initiation of vegetation monitoring.

Upon implementation of specific vegetation monitoring methods, the Department strongly encourages the operators to maintain, to the extent possible, the same investigators for the duration of the project (not only annually, but year to year). Due to the importance of this issue in providing sampling consistency etc., the issue must be addressed in the QA/QC plan. For purposes of comparison, the data from reclaimed and reference areas must be collected during the same time period to ensure that vegetative growth is similar in the two areas. To provide for better year to year comparison, data should be collected during the same vegetative growth period each year. This consistency should reduce sampling variability and increase data quality. The

Department will make regular field inspections during the sampling process to assess the field application of the sampling method and the quality of the data being collected. Changes to the sampling methods may be recommended or required based on the results of the field review.

#### 2.1 ECOLOGICAL SITE AND VEGETATION COMMUNITY DESCRIPTIONS

An ecological or range site map for the permit area at a scale of 1": 400' shall be prepared on a pre-mine topography base. The ecological site map shall be based upon USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey data and the Ecological Site Descriptions, plus any additional permitarea soil survey work required by the Department. Mapped polygons shall identify the soil groups and extant range conditions, consistent with NRCS guidelines (except that percent relative cover may be used as a measure of species' importance, in lieu of percent air-dry weight). Be sure to cite which version of the NRCS guidelines is used, and use that version consistently. It is recommended that mapped pre-mine land use information [required by ARM 17.24.304(1)(1)] be included on the ecological site map.

A vegetation community map for the permit area, and if proposed, any outlying reference areas, shall be prepared at a scale of 1":400' on a pre-mine topography base. Based on a review of the range and soil maps, aerial photographs, USGS orthophoto quads, and a reconnaissance of the permit area, preliminary physiognomic type and/or community polygons shall be delineated. A stratified random sampling scheme based on the preliminary polygons shall be designed for the collection of production, cover, and density data.

Refinements to community boundaries and designations, and consequent adjustments to the sampling scheme, will undoubtedly be necessary as sampling progresses. A gridded overlay and random numbers table carried in the field may facilitate placement of additional sampling locations in an unbiased manner. Permit-area and disturbance-area boundaries shall be delineated on the vegetation map, as well as reference area locations and boundaries. All sample locations shall be indicated on the vegetation map. All discovered locations of any listed or proposed threatened or endangered plant species shall be identified on the vegetation map.

A narrative description of each vegetation type shall be submitted, listing associated species and discussing the environmental factors controlling or limiting the distribution of species. Current condition and trend shall be described for each community and any significant variants of a community. Individual plot or transect data (either as spreadsheets or field sheets) shall be submitted, as well as summary tables. The following information and site attributes shall be reported for each sample location, as well as for sites which

provide habitat for listed or proposed threatened or endangered plant species: date, personnel, aspect, percent slope, topography (ridge, upper slope, midslope, bench, lower slope, toeslope, swale, bottom), configuration (convex, concave, straight, undulating), and a brief description of the substrate. Record incidental vegetation species which are observed adjacent to sample locations or while traveling between locations. A table of the permit-area and disturbance-area acreage of each vegetation community shall be submitted.

Applicants shall submit a list of the scientific names of all vascular plant species observed in each vegetation community (baseline inventories) and revegetation/ physiognomic type (bond release evaluations). The USDA NRCS PLANTS Database is the preferred reference for nomenclature.

#### 2.2 ANNUAL PRODUCTION

Production sampling shall be conducted as near to mid–July as possible, to accurately estimate peak standing crop in our area. Production standards are based on total herbaceous production. Samples need not be segregated by functional group or species, although segregating at least a subsample of the quadrats would facilitate an accurate determination of range condition during baseline and reference area sampling, and is advised.

The clipping of vegetation within 0.5 m² quadrats has become the standard method of estimating herbaceous production on Montana coal mines, although the use of quadrats ranging in size from 0.1 m² (in very dense grasslands) to 1.0 m² (in sparsely vegetated sites) may be acceptable, in consultation with the Department. If livestock grazing is anticipated prior to sampling, production sample sites may need to be located and adequately protected (caged) before grazing begins. Live herbaceous vegetation shall be clipped to ground (or caudex/root crown) level, bagged, and dried to constant weight. Either air–drying or oven–drying may be used, but the drying method must be specified and applied consistently to all samples (oven–dried weights often average 10% less than air–dried weights). Sample weights shall be reported as grams/m², and class productivity as pounds/acre. If kilograms/ha is reported, the converted value for pounds/acre *must also be reported*.

ARM 17.24.301(61)(d) defines commercial forest land as acreage which produces or can be managed to produce in excess of 20 cubic feet per acre per year of industrial wood. ARM 17.24.304(1)(l)(ii) requires an analysis of the average yield of wood products from such lands. Thus, an estimate of timber production must be made for forested acreage that is proposed for disturbance. In eastern Montana, ponderosa pine savannahs (i.e., grasslands with scattered trees, but less than 25% tree canopy coverage) are not expected to yield wood products in excess of 20 ft<sup>3</sup>/ac/yr (Pfister et al. 1977, B. Dillon, DNRC forester–

-pers. comm.). Therefore, annual wood production need only be calculated for ponderosa pine-dominated communities having 25% or greater pine canopy coverage. Yield capability data from similar sites may be cited if available from the USDA Forest Service or the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation. If such data are not available, the following procedure may be used to estimate wood product annual production and tree density.

Estimate basal area (square feet of wood) per acre from a minimum of three randomly located sample points for each pine-dominated community up to 10 acres in size; add an additional sample point for each additional 10 acres of that community, or portion thereof. A Relaskop, angle-gauge, or prism may be used to determine sample trees by the Bitterlich variable-radius method (Chambers and Brown 1983). Select a basal area factor (BAF) and corresponding sighting angle that will result in 5–15 trees being sampled at each sample point (a BAF of 10 is generally appropriate for eastern Montana ponderosa pine stands). The diameter at breast height (DBH), age, and height of the sample trees are measured, and the trees are assigned to 4" DBH size classes (e.g., 0–4", 4–8", 8–12", 12–16", 16–20", and 20"+).

Tree heights may be measured by reading the T scale of the Relaskop at a distance of 66 feet from the tree or by reading the tangent of angles from the percent scale of instruments like the Abney level or Sunnto level. Tree ages shall be measured by counting annual rings of increment cores. Age need only be measured for one tree (the first encountered) in each DBH size class at each sampling location. Add 10 years to the ring count if boring at breast height, to account for seedling growth to that height (B. Dillon--pers. comm.) or bore as near to the ground as possible. Age may be estimated by a whorl count on smaller trees.

If a density estimate is being made for all trees, the basal area of junipers and deciduous trees may be calculated in a similar manner, grouping the trees into 4" DBH size classes by species. Heights and ages are not required for non-timber species.

For each DBH size class, calculate

- 1. mean basal area/tree = 0.005454 (mean DBH<sup>2</sup>)
- 2. mean basal area/acre = total number of trees sampled/number of sample points x BAF
- 3. number of trees/acre = <u>mean basal area/acre</u> mean basal area/tree

4. volume/acre/year = mean basal area/acre x mean tree height/mean tree age

(DBHs are in inches, heights are in ft., basal areas are in square ft., and volumes are in cubic ft.)

Sum the volume/acre/year estimates from each of the DBH size classes and reduce the sum by 25% to account for yield losses due to log taper, bark, and defects (B. Dillon--pers. comm.), thus obtaining the final estimate of the yield capability (annual production) for each ponderosa pine-dominated community. For each tree species, sum the number of trees/acre for each size class to estimate density.

### 2.3 COVER

Percent cover for bare ground, rock, litter, lichens, moss, and each vascular plant species shall be recorded. Cover subtotals shall be calculated for each native and introduced functional group, and total live vegetation cover shall be reported. Relative cover of functional groups shall also be calculated and reported. Relative cover, frequency, and constancy of species' occurrence may be reported in summary tables, but are not required.

Cover measurements may be made by point intercept, line intercept, line point, or ocular estimation. No matter which method is selected, special care must be taken to obtain an accurate estimate for species with relative cover near 1%.

The **point intercept method**, as originally conceived by Levy and Madden (1933), involves dropping a series of pointed pins (usually 10) through a frame and recording the nature of the cover touched by each pin. More recently, the method has been modified to include the use of cross-hairs within low-magnification sighting tubes and laser light beams, rather than pins, to indicate sampling points along a transect. Each randomly located frame or transect constitutes one sampling unit.

The line intercept method (Canfield 1941) is conducted by laying out a measuring tape along a randomly-selected bearing and summing the lengths intercepted by each species' canopy. Considerable overlap of species cover occurs when the line intercept method is used on moderately- to densely-vegetated stands. Under such field conditions the method can be quite time-consuming, and in consequence it has only rarely been used on Montana coal mines. The line intercept method is most efficient as a means of estimating either shrub or low, sparse herbaceous cover. Each randomly located transect represents one sampling unit.

The **line point method** (Heady et al. 1959) is a sort of hybrid of the point intercept and line intercept methods. It is implemented by laying out a measuring tape along a randomly selected bearing and recording the nature of the cover at several (usually 100) points along the tape. Each randomly located transect represents one sample unit. Herrick et al (2005) provide an updated version of the line-point intercept method, along with data forms.

If Daubenmire's (1959) **ocular estimation method** is used, the procedure should be modified so that absolute cover is estimated to the nearest percent. However, if the use of Daubenmire's (or smaller) coverage classes has previously been approved, such use may be continued for the sake of consistency. Acceptable quadrat sizes are not fixed and will vary depending on the vegetation characteristics and the experience of the investigators; sample quadrats ranging in size from 0.1 to 0.5m<sup>2</sup> (and sometimes larger) have been approved for use on Montana coal mines. Each randomly located transect with 10 systematically placed quadrats represents one sampling unit.

#### 2.4 DENSITY

When comparing the stocking rates of revegetated areas with reference areas or historic record technical standards, only living, healthy plants may be counted. Countable trees, shrubs and half-shrubs on revegetation must be at least 2 years old.

Shrub and half-shrub densities have been measured on Montana coal mines by direct counts within rectangular or circular plots or belt transects, and in a few cases where the inventory areas were small or woody taxa had low densities, by total counts. Plot or belt transect dimensions are not fixed and may be selected in accordance with site and vegetation characteristics; plots and belt transects ranging in size from  $10m^2$  to  $100m^2$  have been approved for use. The total number of stems per quadrat and a calculated estimate of the number of stems per acre for each woody species shall be reported.

Tree densities may be estimated by counts within 0.1-acre circular plots (radius = 11.35m or 37.24ft), or by the Bitterlich variable-radius method previously described for estimating timber production. Tree density in savannah communities may also be measured by counts from aerial photographs. Lindsey et al. (1958) assessed the efficiency of various plot-based and plotless sampling techniques for measuring both density and basal area in forests. They took into account the time required for sampling sufficient units to attain a standard error of 15% of the mean, as well as the time spent moving between sampling sites. It was concluded that the Bitterlich variable-radius method was most efficient if basal area was important, and that

a 0.1-acre circular plot was the most efficient method if only density data were required.

#### 2.5 UTILITY

A map and supporting narrative description of the pre-mine condition, capability, and productivity within the proposed permit area are required. If the pre-mine land use was changed within five years of the anticipated date of commencement of mining operations, then the historic land use shall also be described. Land use capability must be analyzed in conjunction with the baseline climate, topography, geology, hydrology, soils, and vegetation information. The productivity of the proposed permit area shall be described in terms of the average yield of food, fiber, forage, or wood products obtained from such lands under high levels of management. Productivity may be determined by site-specific yield data or estimates for similar sites based on data from federal or state agencies, or state universities.

For the purpose of bond release, utility need not be demonstrated for any lands disturbed after May 3, 1978. For bond release on lands where all disturbance occurred prior to this date see the discussion at 3.8 in the Framework for Technical Vegetation Standards. Demonstrating utility for livestock is one of the methods for showing eligibility for Phase III bond release on these lands. Average weight gain per day or average gain per acre are excellent integrated measurements of livestock production capability in response to the quantity and quality of both forage and water. Alternatively, showing AUM's of grazing per acre, combined with percent utilization data (or pounds per acre of residual vegetation), is also an acceptable method for demonstrating livestock utility.

#### PHASE III BOND RELEASE EVALUATIONS

# 3.1 HYPOTHESIS TESTING FOR PRODUCTION, COVER, AND DENSITY [ARM 17.24.726]

Population parameters which must be statistically tested are total production, total cover, and woody-plant density. The hypotheses which are tested during Phase III bond release evaluations are: (1) the null hypothesis, that the parameter mean of the revegetated area is less than 90% of the parameter mean of the reference area, vs. (2) the alternative hypothesis, that the parameter mean of the revegetated area is greater than or equal to 90% of the parameter mean of the reference area (Ames 1993):

- (1)  $H_o: \mu_{revegetation} < 0.9 \mu_{reference area}$
- (2)  $H_a$ :  $\mu_{revegetation} \ge 0.9 \, \mu_{reference area}$

Note that the above formulation of the null hypothesis is different than the classical null hypothesis that is applied to experimental analyses. In the classical case, a hypothesis of no effect is assumed until convincing evidence of the high probability of an experimental effect has been acquired. However, the classical null hypothesis is inappropriate when applied to surface disturbances, where there is no question that an effect has occurred. The appropriate question is whether or not the performance standards required by regulation have been achieved (Erickson 1992, Erickson and McDonald 1995).

The so-called reverse null hypothesis, as presented above, is more than just theoretically correct. Inadequacies and difficulties that are encountered when the classical null hypothesis is misapplied become moot when the null hypothesis is correctly formulated. For example, under the classical null hypothesis, it would be to a company's advantage to collect few samples with high variance and poor quality control, in order to minimize the power of the test and thus the chance of rejecting the assumption of "no effect". Companies taking more samples and practicing better quality control may be at a disadvantage by having greater power to detect a statistically significant difference between reclamation and the performance standard. The Department would have to counteract these basic flaws with a web of regulations designed to control both the precision and the power of hypothesis tests, under all conceivable circumstances.

The classical null hypothesis approach may be used, however, if this route is chosen, it is incumbent on the operator to unequivocally demonstrate sample adequacy. Sample-size equations have been derived for populations which are normally distributed, but when such equations are used with data that are not normally distributed or not evenly dispersed, as is often true with biological populations, the calculated sample sizes may be unreasonably large. Likewise, if a preliminary sample is too small to contain much information, even data from normally distributed populations may result in sample-size overestimates (see the Sample Adequacy discussion in Appendix A). An arbitrary maximum sample size must be negotiated, and the degree of sampling effort expended may be more dependent on the skill of each side's negotiators than on the characteristics of the vegetation.

Under the reverse null hypothesis, however, if the performance standard has not been achieved there is no sample size that will indicate otherwise (McDonald and Erickson 1994). Small sample sizes and poor quality and variance control practices will not enhance the operator's chances for bond

release. Therefore, when conducting Phase III bond release evaluations using the reverse null hypothesis the operator may select the number of samples to be collected, and the Department's responsibility will be to ensure that the data are randomly selected and properly stratified (that is, *the data must be unbiased observations from the populations for which inferences are being made*). The most important consideration to remember about random sampling is that all locations within the population of interest must have an equal probability of being included in a sample.

For the sake of guidance, the Department recommends a minimum sample size of 30 for each population, and population parameter, to be tested. This is the approximate minimum sample size necessary to invoke the central limit theorem, which holds that even if the original population is not normally distributed, the standardized sample mean is approximately normal if the sample size is reasonably large. The central limit theorem thus validates the use of parametric procedures no matter what distribution the original population may have (Snedecor and Cochran 1980, pp. 45–50). Parametric procedures are generally more powerful than their nonparametric equivalents, and using parametric tests should improve an operator's ability to reject the null hypothesis if the performance standard has been achieved.

Data transformation may effectively increase the power of a hypothesis test. If a test statistic for untransformed data fails to indicate that the performance standard has been achieved, it would be advisable to apply one or more of the transformations discussed in Appendix A to the data and re-test.

The arcsine transformation is used to approximate the normal distribution for percentages (such as percent cover) which naturally form binomial distributions when there are two possible outcomes (i.e., live cover either is or is not hit). If percentages range from about 30 to 70%, as is typical with Montana vegetation cover data, there is no need for transformation. If many values are nearer to 0 or 100%, however, the arcsine transformation (described in Appendix A) should be used.

Equal sample sizes should be collected whenever two or more populations are being compared. Parametric tests are not seriously affected by unequal sample variances when sample sizes are equal, but the combination of unequal variance and unequal sample size may result in a higher Type I error rate than is specified by the  $\alpha$  level of the test (Neter, et al. 1985, p. 624). By rule, the level of the test must be held at  $\alpha=0.10$ . The Satterthwaite correction, discussed in Appendix A, provides another means of ensuring that the specified  $\alpha$  level is maintained.

When comparing the total live cover of two populations, most operators

separately tally first-hit (top-layer, non-stratified, without-overlap) cover and multiple-hit (all-layer, stratified, with-overlap) cover. If first-hit cover tends to maximize at 100% (for example, when evaluating special use pastures), then the multiple-hit cover should be compared in order to better approximate the normal distribution. Since the normal distribution is an additive model, adding cover strata together to approximate the model is legitimate.

Naturally, the methods and personnel used to estimate total live cover must be exactly the same whenever samples from two populations are going to be compared.

Production sampling must be conducted as near to mid-July as possible, to accurately estimate peak standing crop in our area. Reference area and reclamation production sampling efforts must not be separated by more than two weeks, to minimize sampling bias.

In consideration of the above discussion, the Department recommends the following hypothesis-testing procedures:

- 1. Design a study and submit the plan to the Department for review, to ensure that all relevant rules will be addressed.
- 2. Collect the data, and check for normality (that is, symmetry about the mean). Histograms or the distribution plot functions found in any statistical software package are adequate for determining whether the sample distribution is approximately normal.
- 3. If two populations are being compared, the assumption of equal variances should be verified by Levene's test (Appendix A).
- 4. Choose the appropriate procedure as described below, based upon the preliminary test results. The nonparametric tests (i.e., sign test and Mann-Whitney test) should not be substituted for parametric tests if the data appear to be normally distributed, since the operator's power to reject the null hypothesis will likely be reduced. Appendix A provides statistical formulas, examples, references, and probability tables for each of the approved procedures.
- 5. Submit a copy of each hypothesis-testing calculation which is conducted in support of an application for bond release.

Preliminary test results	Comparing two independent samples	Comparing to a technical standard
Data are normal Variances are equal	Conduct a two-sample <i>t</i> test.	
Data are normal Variances are not equal Sample sizes are equal	Calculate the Satterthwaite correction and conduct a two-sample <i>t</i> test.	Conduct a one-sample <i>t</i> test.
Data are normal Variances are not equal Sample sizes are not equal	Calculate the Satterthwaite correction, or transform the data and test the variances, or collect additional samples. Conduct a two-sample <i>t</i> test.	
Data are not normal Variances are equal	Conduct a Mann-Whitney test, or transform the data. If the transformed data are approximately normal, conduct a two-sample <i>t</i> test.	
Data are not normal Variances are not equal Sample sizes are equal	Transform the data; if the transformed data are approximately normal, conduct a two-sample <i>t</i> test, using the Satterthwaite correction as necessary.	Transform the data; if the transformed data are approximately normal, conduct a one-sample t test; or conduct a one-sample sign test.
Data are not normal Variances are not equal Sample sizes are not equal	Transform the data or collect additional samples and reassess normality and variance equality. Conduct the Mann-Whitney test, or the two-sample <i>t</i> test and Satterthwaite correction, as appropriate.	

#### **REFERENCES CITED**

- Ames. M. 1993. Sequential sampling of surface-mined land to assess reclamation. J. Range Mgmt. 46:498-500.
- Canfield, R.H. 1941. Application of the line interception method in sampling range vegetation. J. Forestry 39:388-394.
- Chambers, J.C., and Brown, R.W. 1983. Methods for vegetation sampling and analysis on revegetated mined lands. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-151, Intermountain Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Ogden, UT.
- Daubenmire, R. 1959. A canopy-cover method of vegetational analysis. NW Sci. 33:43-63.
- Erickson, W.P. 1992. Hypothesis testing under the assumption that a treatment does harm to the environment. M.S. thesis. U. of Wyoming, Laramie.
- Erickson, W.P., and McDonald, L.L. 1995. Tests for bioequivalence of control media and test media in studies of toxicity. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 14:1247–1256.
- Heady, H.F., Gibbens, R.P., and Powell, R.W. 1959. A comparison of the charting, line intercept, and line point methods of sampling shrub types of vegetation. J. Range Mgmt. 12:180–188.
- Herrick, J.E., J.W. Van Zee, K.M. Havstad, L.M. Burkett, and W.G. Whitford. 2005. Monitoring Manual for Grassland, Shrubland and Savanna Ecosystems. Vols I & II. USDA-ARS Jornada Experimental Range, Las Cruces, NM.
- Levy, E.B., and Madden, E.A. 1933. The point method of pasture analysis. New Zealand J. Aq. 46:267–279.
- Lindsey, A.A., Barton, J.D., and Miles, S.R. 1958. Field efficiencies of forest sampling methods. Ecology 39:428-444.
- McDonald, L.L., and Erickson, W.P. 1994. Testing for bioequivalence in field studies: Has a disturbed site been adequately reclaimed? In *Statistics in Ecology and Environmental Monitoring* (Eds. D.J. Fletcher and B.F.J. Manly), pp. 183–197, Otago Conference Series No.2, University of Otago Press, Dunedin, New Zealand.
- Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M. H. 1985. Applied Linear Statistical

Models, 2nd ed. Irwin Press, Homewood, IL 60430. 1127 pp. Pfister, R.D., Kovalchik, B.L., Arno, S.F., and Presby, R.C. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-34, Intermountain Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Ogden, UT.

Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press. 507 pp.

#### APPENDIX A

## Statistical Formulas, Examples, and References

## 1. Determining sample adequacy

a. The Cochran formula (parameter estimation)

Sample adequacy must be demonstrated during all vegetation studies. When estimating population parameters, numerical sample adequacy is attained when sufficient observations are taken so that we have 90% confidence that the sample mean lies within 10% of the true population mean. The minimum number of samples required to estimate a parameter with this level of precision is given by the Cochran formula

$$n_{\min} = \underbrace{(ts)^2}_{(0.10 x)^2}$$

where

t is the tabular t value for a preliminary sample with n-1 degrees of freedom and a two-tailed significance level of  $\alpha = 0.10$ 

s is the standard deviation of a preliminary sample

x is the sample mean of a preliminary sample

Note that the Cochran formula, when modified so that  $2(zs)^2$  is the numerator, is frequently cited as the Wyoming DEQ formula. Doubling the minimum sample size in this manner is appropriate when two populations are being compared, but is not correct when inferences are only being made for one population. Further, the t distribution, not the z distribution, should be used when  $n_{min}$  is calculated from a preliminary sample (i.e., from experimental data). A two-tailed t value is used, since we wish to control both underestimates and overestimates of the population mean.

Two examples illustrate some properties of the Cochran formula. In the first case, a small preliminary production sample of n=5 is collected, which yields 0=1618 and s=710. From the two-tailed column of Appendix Table A-1, t with  $4 \, d.f. = 2.132$ . We calculate

$$n_{min} = \frac{(2.132 \times 710)^2}{(0.10 \times 1618)^2} = 87.5 \text{ samples}$$

In the second case, a more ambitious preliminary sample of n=15 is collected, yielding 0=1524 and s=267. The tabular t value with 14 d.f. =1.761, and therefore

$$n_{min} = \frac{(1.761 \times 267)^2}{(0.10 \times 1524)^2} = 9.5 \text{ samples}$$

Clearly, the Cochran formula is very sensitive to the preliminary variance estimate, and if the preliminary sample size is small (i.e., if it doesn't include very much information), the variance estimate and  $n_{min}$  may be excessively large. On the other hand, if the preliminary sample is reasonably large, the population is properly stratified, and good quality control is practiced, the calculated minimum sample size should not be excessive. It should seldom be necessary to collect more than 30 cover, production, or density samples from any appropriately stratified population.

## b. Sample sizes for comparison of means

The comparison of population means with 90% confidence is an inherent property of each of the Phase III bond release testing procedures which are approved in these guidelines. A conclusion that the performance standard has been met will not occur unless 90% confidence is attained. The following table, derived from the relationship

$$n = 2 (z_{2a} + z_{7})^{2} s^{2} / d^{2}$$
 (Snedecor and Cochran 1980, p. 104)

provides an easy means of approximating how many observations will be needed to attain 90% confidence, in consideration of the differences in sample means and the standard deviations found during reference area and/or revegetation monitoring (a more accurate estimate may be obtained by replacing the "generic" z-values with t-values based on actual preliminary sample sizes). We calculate a standardized difference d/s, where d is the observed difference in the means from preliminary sampling, and s is the standard deviation of the more variable sample. With the probability of both Type I and II errors ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively) set at 0.10 for a one-sided test, the number of observations to be collected from <u>each</u> population is

<u>d/s</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>d/s</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>d/s</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>d/</u>	<u>s</u> <u>n</u>
.30	100	.55	30	.80	14	1.1	7
.35	74	.60	25	.85	12	1.2	6
.40	56	.65	21	.90	11	1.3	5
.45	45	.70	18	.95	10	1.4	- 5
.50	36	.75	16	1.00	9	1.5	4

We can estimate the number of observations needed for a comparison of means with the data from our first example above. Let's say that the data set with  $n=5,\,0=1618$ , and s=710 is from reclamation, and the data set with  $n=15,\,0=1524$ , and s=267 is from a reference area (this is, in fact, the actual case). We multiply the reference mean by the 90% performance standard and obtain 1371.6. Therefore

$$d = 1618 - 1371.6 = 246.4$$
  
 $s = 710$   
and  $d/s = 0.347$ 

Interpolating on the table values above, about 76 samples would be needed from each area. If the standard deviation from the larger sample had been the higher variance estimate, then d/s = .923, and 11 samples would be required from each area.

Scrimping on preliminary samples doesn't appear to be a good idea. Base sampling estimates on at least 10 or 15 preliminary observations, and even more if the populations seem highly variable.

#### References:

Krebs, C. J. 1989. Ecological Methodology. Harper and Row, New York, NY. 654 pp.

Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press. 507 pp.

## 2. Levene's test for homogeneity of variances:

Levene's test uses the average of the absolute values of the deviations from the mean within a class

$$3*x_{ij} - x_{i}*/n$$

as a measure of variability, rather than the mean square of the deviations. Since the deviations are not squared, the sensitivity of the test to non-normality in the form of long-tailed distributions is minimized. Such departures from normality are very common in biological data.

Snedecor and Cochran (1980) provide the following example of how Levene's test is applied. The original data (4 random samples drawn from a t distribution, and thus of known equal variance) are on the left and the absolute deviations  $*x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i}*$  are on the right.

						Α	bsolute D	eviations	ı			
	Da	ata for Cla	ass		_	from Class Mean						
	1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4			
	7.40	8.84	8.09	7.55		0.54	2.08	1.89	0.71			
	6.18	6.69	7.96	5.65		0.68	0.07	1.76	1.19			
	6.86	7.12	5.31	6.92		0.00	0.36	0.89	0.08			
	7.76	7.42	7.39	6.50		0.90	0.66	1.19	0.34			
	6.39	6.83	0.51	5.46		0.47	0.07	5.69	1.38			
	5.95	5.06	7.84	7.40		0.91	1.70	1.64	0.56			
	<u>7.48</u>	<u>5.35</u>	<u>6.28</u>	<u>8.37</u>		<u>0.62</u>	<u>1.40</u>	<u>0.08</u>	<u>1.53</u>			
Total	48.02	47.31	43.38	47.85		4.12	6.34	13.14	5.79			
Mean	6.86	6.76	6.20	6.84		0.589	0.906	1.877	0.827			

An analysis of variance was performed on the mean deviations in the table on the right, using the class means 0.589, 0.906, 1.877, and 0.827 as the estimates of variability within each class. The table below provides the ANOVA.

Source	df	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F
Between classes	3	6.773	2.258	2.11
Within classes	24	25.674	1.070	

The F value 2.11 indicates a non-significant P > 0.10 with 3 and 24 degrees of freedom, despite the apparent outlier value of 0.51 in the data for class 3. Snedecor and Cochran note that Bartlett's test, which uses the mean square of the deviations (i.e., the sample variance) as the estimate of variability, and is perhaps the most frequently encountered test of variance homogeneity, erroneously rejects the hypothesis of equal population variances for these data.

In our revegetation vs. reference area setting, a *t* test of 2 independent samples (Procedure #4 below) may be conducted rather than an ANOVA. The 2-tailed probabilities of Appendix Table A-1 may be used to determine whether the hypothesis of equal variability should be rejected. Note that the decision rules of the 2-sample *t* test must be reversed when conducting Levene's test, since in this case we are not reversing the classical null hypothesis of equal means.

#### Reference:

Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press. 507 pp.

## 3. The one-sample, one-sided *t* test:

This test is appropriate for comparing a normally-distributed parameter to a technical standard (Neter, et al. 1985). The test statistic is

$$t^* = \frac{\overline{x} - 0.9 \text{ (technical standard)}}{s}$$

where

*t\** is the calculated *t*-statistic

 $\bar{x}$  is the sample mean

s is the standard deviation of the sample

n is the sample size

The  $\alpha$ -level of the test is set at 0.10 by regulation, and the decision rules are

If  $t^* < t(1 - \alpha; n - 1)$ , conclude failure to meet the performance standard

If  $t^* \ge t(1 - \alpha; n - 1)$ , conclude that the performance standard was met

The following example illustrates application of the test. Revegetation cover sampling provides the following statistics:  $\bar{x} = 68.2$ , s = 17.4, n = 30. Assume a technical standard of 70% total live cover is approved.

$$t^* = \frac{68.2 - 0.9 (70)}{17.4} = 1.64$$
 and the one-tail  $t (.90;29) = 1.31$  from Appendix Table A-1

Therefore, we conclude that the performance standard was met.

#### Reference:

Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M. H. 1985. Applied Linear Statistical Models, 2nd ed. Irwin Press, Homewood, IL 60430. 1127 pp.

## 4. The one-sided *t* test for two independent samples:

This test is appropriate for comparing samples from two independent, normally-distributed populations (Neter, et al. 1985). The test statistic is

$$t^* = \frac{\overline{x}_1 - 0.90 \ \overline{x}_2}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{SS_1 + SS_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{0.81}{n_2}\right)}}$$

where

*t\** is the calculated *t*-statistic

 $\bar{x}_1$  is the reclamation sample mean

 $\bar{x}_2$  is the reference area sample mean

SS<sub>1</sub> is the reclamation sum of squared deviations from the mean  $\{ \varphi (x_{1j} - 0_1)^2 \}$ 

SS<sub>2</sub> is the reference area sum of squared deviations from the mean  $\{ \varphi (x_{2j} - 0_2)^2 \}$ 

n<sub>1</sub> is the reclamation sample size

n<sub>2</sub> is the reference area sample size

The  $\alpha$ -level of the test is 0.10, and the decision rules are

If  $t^* < t(1 - \alpha; n_2 - 2)$ , conclude failure to meet the performance standard If  $t^* \ge t(1 - \alpha; n_2 - 2)$ , conclude that the performance standard was met

For example, let's assume reclamation and reference area sampling has provided the following total live cover data:

For reclamation: 50, 42, 46, 48, 63, 46, 48, 42, 50, 42, 54, 52, 35, 45, 52 For the reference area: 49, 51, 53, 47, 55, 54, 44, 47, 50, 47, 52, 40, 56, 25, 33

The summary table is

Reclamation  $n_1 = 15 \quad \bar{x}_1 = 47.6 \quad SS_1 = 593.4$ 

Reference Area  $n_2 = 15 \quad \bar{x}_2 = 46.9 \quad SS_2 = 1021.7$ 

and

$$t^* = \sqrt{\frac{47.6 - 0.90 (46.9)}{\left(\frac{593.4 + 1021.7}{15 + 15 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{.81}{15}\right)}} = 2.323 \text{ and the one-tailed} \quad (0.90;28) = 1.313 \text{ (Appendix Table A-1)}$$

Therefore, we conclude that the performance standard was met.

#### Reference:

Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M. H. 1985. Applied Linear Statistical Models, 2nd ed. Irwin Press, Homewood, IL 60430. 1127 pp.

## 5. The one-sample, one-sided sign test:

The sign test is appropriate for comparing a sample with observations which are not normal (i.e., not symmetrical about the mean) to a technical standard (Daniel 1990). Observations must be randomly selected and independent. An early criticism of these guidelines questioned the use of the sign test, rather than the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, when comparing a nonnormal population to a technical standard. The signed-rank is generally the more powerful test, however it carries the assumption that the population being sampled is symmetrical, i.e., that the median is equal to the mean. If the assumption of symmetry is met (or can be met by transforming the data), the Department recommends that the even more powerful one-sample *t* test be used. If the data are not symmetrically distributed, but an obvious majority of the sample values are greater than the performance standard, then the sign test is recommended.

The technical standard is multiplied by the 0.90 performance standard and the result is subtracted from each observation, recording the sign of the difference. Any observations which are equal to 90% of the technical standard, and thus yield no difference, are dropped from the analysis. The test statistic k is the number of "minus" signs. K designates a random variable drawn from a binomial distribution, which is the appropriate model for sampling when only 2 outcomes are possible, such as coin tosses, or in this case, plus or minus signs. Since  $\alpha = 0.10$  by regulation, the decision rules are

If  $P(K \le k)$ , given sample size n from a binomial population expected to yield minus signs 50% of the time if  $H_0$  is true) > 0.10, conclude failure to meet the performance standard.

If  $P(K \le k$ , given sample size n from a binomial population expected to

yield minus signs 50% of the time if  $H_0$  is true)  $\leq 0.10$ , conclude that the performance standard was met.

Assume that reclamation sampling has provided the following 26 tree-density observations, which will be compared to a technical standard of 40 trees/acre

Multiplying the technical standard by the 90% performance standard yields 36. Subtracting 36 from each observation results in the following signs

and thus k = 10 minus signs, and n = 25.

From Appendix Table A-2 we determine that  $P(K \le 10)$ , given a sample size of 25 and a 50% chance for minus signs if H<sub>0</sub> is true) = 0.2122. Therefore, we conclude failure to meet the performance standard. In this example, 8 or fewer minus signs would result in a conclusion that the performance standard had been achieved.

Daniel (1990) provides a large-sample, normal approximation to the binomial

$$z = \frac{\text{(No. of minus signs} + 0.5) - 0.5n}{0.5\sqrt{n}}$$

for sample sizes of 12 or larger.

For the tree-density example given above, the large-sample normal approximation would be applied as follows

$$z = \frac{(10 + 0.5) - 0.5(25)}{0.5\sqrt{25}} = -0.80$$

Appendix Table A-3 indicates that the probability of observing a value of z this small is 0.2119, and as above, we conclude failure to meet the performance standard. Note that we are determining the probability of observing fewer than the expected value of 50% minus signs. If the number of minus signs exceeds 50% of the total number of observations, there is no need to conduct the sign test—the performance standard has not been met.

#### Reference:

Daniel, W.W. 1990. Applied Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed. PWS-KENT, Boston. 635 pp.

## 6. The one-sided Mann-Whitney test for two independent samples:

The Mann-Whitney test is appropriate for testing whether two populations have the same median values for a parameter. The populations need not follow a normal distribution, although it is assumed that the two populations have the <u>same</u> distribution; that is, the population variances are assumed to be equal. The Mann-Whitney test is especially apt in cases where two long-tailed sample distributions are being compared, because comparisons of observation ranks, rather than actual values, are made.

The first consideration in the bond release scenario is how to incorporate the 90% performance standard into the test. We wish to detect a shift in the hypothesized population median, rather than a multiplicative effect. A transformation of both reclaimed and reference data must be made prior to assigning ranks. Since ranks are invariant to logarithmic transformations, the log transformation is an appropriate choice. For the reference area data, the transformation is

$$X'_{reference} = log(X_{reference} + 1) + log(0.9)$$

Remember that log(xy) = log(x) + log(y). The 1 is added to the observation values in case some observations are equal to zero, since log(0) is undefined. The reclamation data is transformed as shown

We then combine all of the log-transformed values from both samples and rank them from the smallest (which is given a rank of 1) to the largest. Tied observations are assigned the average of the ranks they would have received if there were no ties. We then sum the ranks of the transformed observations from the reference area population ( $S_{reference}$ ). The test statistic  $\mathcal{T}$  is calculated as follows

$$T = (S_{reference}) - \left(\frac{n_1(n_1 + 1)}{2}\right)$$

where  $n_1$  is the number of observations in the reference area sample.

The decision rules, with  $\alpha$  set at 0.10, are

If  $T > w_{0.10}$ , conclude failure to meet the performance standard If  $T \le w_{0.10}$ , conclude that the performance standard was met

where  $w_{0.10}$  is the critical value of T observed in Appendix Table A-4 given  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  (the number of observations in the reclamation sample).

An example of the use of the Mann-Whitney test follows. Let's assume we have collected 20 shrub-density observations from both a reference area and a reclaimed area, as indicated below

Reference Area Observation	$\frac{\log (Observation+1) + \log}{(0.9)}$	<u>Rank</u>	Reference Area Observation 0	log (Observation+1) + log (0.9) 0	<u>Rank</u> 1.5
3 10 17 22 22 23 27	0.5563 0.9956 1.2095 1.3160 1.3160 1.3345 1.4014	3 4 5 6.5 6.5 8	0	0	1.5
27	1.4014	9	25 29	1.4150 1.4771	10 11
33 35 35 36 37 37	1.4857 1.5105 1.5105 1.5224 1.5340 1.5340	12 13.5 13.5 15 16.5 16.5			
37	1.5540	10.5	35 35 38 40	1.5563 1.5563 1.5911 1.6128	18.5 18.5 20 21
45 45	1.6170 1.6170	23 23			
45	1.6170	23	42 44	1.6335 1.6532	25 26.5
49	1.6532	26.5	45 48	1.6628 1.6902	28 29
55	1.7024	30	50 51 58 60 65	1.7076 1.7160 1.7709 1.7853 1.8195	31 32 33 34 35

75 1.8808 36 78 1.8976 37 132 2.1239 38 192 2.5733 
$$\frac{40}{2}$$

Therefore 333.5 = S<sub>reference</sub>, and  $T = (333.5) - \frac{20(20+1)}{2} = 123.5$ 

Since the calculated T value is less than the critical value of 152 ( $w_{0.10}$  with  $n_1 = 20$ ,  $n_2 = 20$ ) from Appendix Table A-4, we conclude that the performance standard was met.

Daniel (1990) presents a large-sample normal approximation when either  $n_1$  or  $n_2$  are more than 20

$$z = \frac{T - n_1 n_2 / 2}{\sqrt{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 + 1) / 12}}$$

Inserting the calculated  $\mathcal{T}$  value and sample sizes from the shrub-density example, we have

$$z = \frac{123.5 - (20 \times 20/2)}{\sqrt{20 \times 20 (20 + 20 + 1) / 12}} = -2.07$$

Appendix Table A-3 indicates that the probability of observing a value of z this small is 0.0192, and as above, we conclude that the performance standard was met.

Woody-taxa density is a difficult vegetation attribute to estimate, but the Mann-Whitney test appears to be a very promising technique. Therefore another example is provided, using actual reference area and baseline shrub-density observations from an upland grassland physiognomic type (the baseline data, for the purpose of this example, are considered to be from reclamation). If the summary statistics for the following data are used to estimate the sample size for a comparison of means, the ratio d/s = 0.24, and the estimated minimum sample size is well over 100 observations from each population. This seems excessive. Both populations are positively skewed and there are a large number of zero values, which seems reasonable for shrub densities in a composite of upland grassland communities. The Mann-Whitney test is indicated.

Reference Area				Reclamation		
Observation	log (Observation + 1) + log (0.9)		Rank	Observation	on log (Obser	vation + 1)
<u>Rank</u>			_			
0	-0.046		5 5 5 5 5 5 5			
0	-0.046		5			
0	-0.046		5			
0	-0.046		5			
0	-0.046		5			
0	-0.046		5			
0	-0.046		5			
0	-0.046		5			
0	-0.046		5			
				0	0	14.5
				0	0	14.5
				0	0	14.5
				0	0	14.5
				0	0	14.5
				Ö	Ö	14.5
				Ö	Ö	14.5
				Ö	Ö	14.5
				Ö	Ö	14.5
				0	0	14.5
167	2.180	20		U	U	17.5
107	2.160	20		167	2 225	21.5
					2.225	
222	2.470	2.2		167	2.225	21.5
333	2.478	23				
334	2.479	24.5				
334	2.479	24.5		222	2.524	26.5
				333	2.524	26.5
				333	2.524	26.5
				334	2.525	29.5
				334	2.525	29.5
500	2.654	31.5				
500	2.654	31.5				
				500	2.700	33.5
				500	2.700	33.5
666	2.778	35.5				
666	2.778	35.5				
667	2.779	37				
				667	2.825	38
833	2.875	39				
				834	2.922	40
1000	2.955	41.5				
1000	2.955	41.5				
1167	3.022	43				
1333	3.079	44				
1334	3.080	45.5				
1334	3.080	45.5				
1499	3.130	47				
1500	3.131	48.5				
1500	3.131	48.5				
				1667	3.222	50
2000	3.255	51			J	
2000	3.233	٥.		2000	3.301	52
				2334	3.368	53
				3167	3.501	54
				3334	3.523	55
				JJJ7	J.J2J	, ,
D-f A				D		

Reclamation

Reference Area

Observation	log (Observation + 1) + log (0.9)	Rank	Observ	ation log (Obse	rvation + 1)
Rank				-	
3833	3.538	56			
			3667	3.564	57
			4000	3.602	58.5
			4000	3.602	58.5
			4333	3.637	60
			4500	3.653	61
			5000	3.699	62
7334	3.820	63.5			
7334	3.820	63.5			
8500	3.884	65			
			8834	3.946	66
			10500	4.021	67
			20166	4.305	68
	Therefore, S <sub>refe</sub>	rence = 1051			
T = (1051) -	34(34+1) = 456, and z =	456 - (34 :	×34/2) =	- 1.50	
	2	$\sqrt{34 \times 34 (34 + 4)}$	- 34 +1) / 12		

From Appendix Table A-3, the probability of randomly observing a z value of -1.50 is 0.0668, and we conclude that the performance standard was met.

Note that in the second example above, all of the tied observation ranks occurred within either one population or the other, so averaging the ranks wasn't really necessary, except to demonstrate the procedure.

#### Reference:

Daniel, W.W. 1990. Applied Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed. PWS-KENT PublishingCo., Boston, MA. 635 pp.

#### 7. The Satterthwaite correction:

The presence of unequal sample variances in two populations which are going to be compared results in a t statistic which does not follow Student's t distribution. The Satterthwaite correction assigns an appropriate number of degrees of freedom to the calculated t so that the ordinary t table (Appendix Table A-1) may be used. The corrected degrees of freedom are given by

$$v' = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}$$

$$\frac{n_{1-1}}{n_{2-1}}$$

where  $s_1^2$  and  $s_2^2$  are the sample variances for the 2 populations, and  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ 

are the respective sample sizes. An example from Snedecor and Cochran (1980) follows. Four observations from one population are going to be compared to 8 observations from a second population. The summary statistics are

$$n_1 = 4$$
, with 3 degrees of freedom  $n_2 = 8$ , with 7 degrees of freedom  $x_1 = 25$   $x_2 = 21$   $x_1^2 = 0.67$   $x_2^2 = 17.71$   $x_1^2/n_1 = 0.17$   $x_2^2/n_2 = 2.21$ 

Without taking the Satterthwaite correction into account, the degrees of freedom for the t statistic would be calculated as  $n_1 + n_2 - 2 = 10$ . Correcting for unequal variances yields

$$V' = \frac{\left(\frac{0.67}{4} + \frac{17.71}{8}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{0.67}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{17.71}{8}\right)^2} = 7.99$$

Therefore, the t value from Appendix Table A-1 which is associated with 8 degrees of freedom (1.397 for a one-sided test) is the proper comparative statistic to use when designating the decision rules.

#### Reference:

Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press. 507 pp.

#### 8. Data transformation:

Data transformations are applied to change the scale of measurements in order to better approximate the normal distribution. However, if the Department's recommendations are followed to (1) take a minimum of 30 observations from each population of interest to invoke the central limit theorem, and (2) always take the same number of observations from each population being compared to decrease sensitivity to heterogeneous variances, the need for data transformation should be minimized.

Three basic rules applicable to the use of all transformations are given by Krebs (1989):

1. Never convert *variances*, *standard deviations*, or *standard errors* back to the original measurement scale. These statistics have no meaning on the original scale of measurement.

- 2. *Means* and *confidence limits* may be converted back to the original scale by applying the inverse transformation.
- 3. Never compare means calculated from untransformed data with means calculated from any transformation, reconverted back to the original scale of measurement. They are not comparable means. All statistical comparisons between different groups must be done using one common transformation for all groups.

The **arcsine transformation** is used to approximate the normal distribution for percentages (such as percent cover) and proportions which naturally form binomial distributions when there are two possible outcomes, or multinomial distributions when there are three or more potential outcomes. As previously mentioned, if percentages range from about 30 to 70%, as is typical with Montana vegetation cover data, there is no need for transformation. If many values are nearer to 0 or 100%, however, the arcsine transformation should be used. Note that arcsine  $= \sin^{-1}$ . The observation from the original data is replaced by the transformed observation (X<sup>1</sup>). The arcsine transformation recommended by Krebs (1989) is

$$X' = \arcsin \sqrt{p}$$

where p is the observed proportion.

To convert arcsine-transformed means back to the original scale of percentages or proportions the procedure is reversed.

$$\overline{p} = (\sin \overline{X}')^2$$

The **square-root transformation** is commonly applied when sample variances are proportional to the sample means.

$$X' = \sqrt{X + 0.5}$$

This transformation is preferable to the straight square-root transformation when the original data include small numbers and some zero values. The mean may be converted back to the original scale by reversing the transformation.

$$\overline{X} = (\overline{X'})^2 - 0.5$$

The **logarithmic transformation** is used when percent changes or multiplicative effects (such as multiplying observations by a 90% performance standard, as previously discussed) occur. This transformation will convert a positively-skewed frequency distribution into a more nearly symmetrical distribution.

$$X' = \log (X + 1)$$

Either natural (base *e*) or base 10 logs may be used. Conversion of the mean back to the original scale is accomplished by

$$\overline{X} = [antilog(\overline{X})] - 1 = 10^{\overline{X}} - 1$$

Reference:

Krebs, C. J. 1989. Ecological Methodology. Harper and Row, New York, NY. 654 pp.

Table A-1: Percentiles of the  $\it t$  distribution for  $\alpha = 0.10$  (one-tailed and two-tailed)

Degrees of freedom	One-tailed	Two-tailed
<u>(n – 1)</u>	<u>t value</u>	<u>t value</u>
1	3.078	6.314
2 3	1.886	2.920
3	1.638	2.353
4	1.533	2.132
5	1.476	2.015
6	1.440	1.943
7	1.415	1.895
8	1.397	1.860
9	1.383	1.833
10	1.372	1.812
11	1.363	1.796
12	1.356	1.782
13	1.350	1.771
14	1.345	1.761
15	1.341	1.753
16	1.337	1.746
17	1.333	1.740
18	1.330	1.734
19	1.328	1.729
20	1.325	1.725
21	1.323	1.721
22	1.321	1.717
23	1.319	1.714
24	1.318	1.711
25	1.316	1.708
26	1.315	1.706
27	1.314	1.703
28	1.313	1.701
29	1.313	1.699
30	1.310	1.697
40 60	1.303	1.684
60	1.296	1.671
120	1.289	1.658
	1.282	1.645

Adapted from Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M. H. 1985. Applied Linear Statistical Models, 2nd ed.

Table A-2: The binomial probability distribution for a population expected to yield minus signs 50% of the time when  $H_0$  is true

The tabulated probabilities are additive. For example, if we want to determine the probability that  $K \le 4$  when n = 11, we add the probabilities for each r value from 0 to 4 in the n = 11 column to obtain the sum of 0.2745.

n =	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
r = 0	.5000	.2500	.1250	.0625	.0312	.0156	.0078	.0039	.0020	.0010	.0005
1	.5000	.5000	.3750	.2500	.1562	.0938	.0547	.0312	.0176	.0098	.0054
2		.2500	.3750	.3750	.3125	.2344	.1641	.1094	.0703	.0439	.0269
3			.1250	.2500	.3125	.3125	.2734	.2188	.1641	.1172	.0806
4				.0625	.1562	.2344	.2734	.2734	.2461	.2051	.1611
5					.0312	.0938	.1641	.2188	.2461	.2461	.2256
6						.0156	.0547	.1094	.1641	.2051	.2256
7							.0078	.0312	.0703	.1172	.1611
8								.0039	.0176	.0439	.0806
9									.0020	.0098	.0269
10										.0010	.0054
11											.0005

Table A-2 continues on page A19

Table A-2: The binomial probability distribution--continued

n =	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	25
r = 0	.0002	.0001	.0001	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
1	.0029	.0016	.0009	.0005	.0002	.0001	.0001	.0000	.0000	.0000
2	.0161	.0095	.0056	.0032	.0018	.0010	.0006	.0003	.0002	.0000
3	.0537	.0349	.0222	.0139	.0085	.0052	.0031	.0018	.0011	.0001
4	.1208	.0873	.0611	.0417	.0278	.0182	.0117	.0074	.0046	.0004
5	.1934	.1571	.1222	.0916	.0667	.0472	.0327	.0222	.0148	.0016
6	.2256	.2095	.1833	.1527	.1222	.0944	.0708	.0518	.0370	.0053
7	.1934	.2095	.2095	.1964	.1746	.1484	.1214	.0961	.0739	.0143
8	.1208	.1571	.1833	.1964	.1964	.1855	.1669	.1442	.1201	.0322
9	.0537	.0873	.1222	.1527	.1746	.1855	.1855	.1762	.1602	.0609
10	.0161	.0349	.0611	.0916	.1222	.1484	.1669	.1442	.1762	.0974
11	.0029	.0095	.0222	.0417	.0667	.0944	.1214	.0961	.1602	.1328
12	.0002	.0016	.0056	.0139	.0278	.0472	.0708	.0518	.1201	.1550
13		.0001	.0009	.0032	.0085	.0182	.0327	.0222	.0739	.1550
14			.0001	.0005	.0018	.0052	.0117	.0074	.0370	.1328
15					.0002	.0010	.0031	.0018	.0148	.0974
16						.0001	.0006	.0003	.0046	.0609
17							.0001		.0011	.0322
18									.0002	.0143
19										.0053
20										.0016
21										.0004
22										.0001

Adapted from Daniel, W.W. 1990. Applied Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed.

# Table A-3: Standard one-tailed normal curve areas

Table entries give the area under the normal curve from 0 to z. Subtract the table entry from 0.5 to obtain the tail area of the curve, which is the probability of randomly observing a value of z which is equal to, or more extreme than, the calculated z value. If calculated values have negative signs, disregard the sign when using this table. For example, the table entry for z = -1.96 is 0.4750, and the probability of randomly observing that z value is 0.0250.

Z	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
0.0	.0000	.0040	.0080	.0120	.0160	.0199	.0239	.0279	.0319	.0359
0.1	.0398	.0438	.0478	.0517	.0557	.0596	.0636	.0675	.0714	.0753
0.2	.0793	.0832	.0871	.0910	.0948	.0987	.1026	.1064	.1103	.1141
0.3	.1179	.1217	.1255	.1293	.1331	.1368	.1406	.1443	.1480	.1517
0.4	.1554	.1591	.1628	.1664	.1700	.1736	.1772	.1808	.1844	.1879
0.5			.1985							
0.6	.2257	.2291	.2324	.2357	.2389	.2422	.2454	.2486	.2517	.2549
0.7	.2580	.2611	.2642	.2673	.2704	.2734	.2764	.2794	.2823	.2852
0.8			.2939							
0.9			.3212							
1.0	.3413	.3438	.3461	.3485	.3508	.3531	.3554	.3577	.3599	.3621
1.1			.3686		-	-	-			
1.2			.3888							
1.3			.4066							
1.4	_		.4222							
1.5			.4357	-						
1.6			.4474							
1.7			.4573							
1.8			.4656							
1.9			.4726							
2.0			.4783						-	_
2.1			.4830							
2.2			.4868	-						
2.3			.4898					_	-	
2.4		-	.4922			-				
2.5			.4941							
2.6			.4956							
2.7			.4967							
2.8			.4976							
2.9			.4982							
3.0	.4987	.4987	.4987	.4988	.4988	.4989	.4989	.4989	.4990	.4990

Adapted from Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods, 7th ed.

Table A-4: Values of  $w_{0.10}$  for the Mann-Whitney test statistic

n <sub>1</sub>	n <sub>2</sub> =	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
2		0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8
3		1	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8	9	10	11	11	12	13	14	15	16
4		1	2	4	5	6	7	8	10	11	12	13	14	16	17	18	19	21	22	23
5		2	3	5	6	8	9	11	13	14	16	18	19	21	23	24	26	28	29	31
6		2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	32	35	37	39
7		2	5	7	9	12	14	17	19	22	24	27	29	32	34	37	39	42	44	47
8		3	6	8	11	14	17	20	23	25	28	31	34	37	40	43	46	49	52	55
9		3	6	10	13	16	19	23	26	29	32	36	39	42	46	49	53	56	59	63
10		4	7	11	14	18	22	25	29	33	37	40	44	48	52	55	59	63	67	71
11		4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	37	41	45	49	53	58	62	66	70	74	79
12		5	9	13	18	22	27	31	36	40	45	50	54	59	64	68	73	78	82	87
13		5	10	14	19	24	29	34	39	44	49	54	59	64	69	75	80	85	90	95
14		5	11	16	21	26	32	37	42	48	53	59	64	70	75	81	86	92	98	103
15		6	11	17	23	28	34	40	46	52	58	64	69	75	81	87	93	99	105	111
16		6	12	18	24	30	37	43	49	55	62	68	75	81	87	94	100	107	113	120
17		7	13	19	26	32	39	46	53	59	66	73	80	86	93	100	107	114	121	128
18		7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	63	70	78	85	92	99	107	114	121	129	136
19		8	15	22	29	37	44	52	59	67	74	82	90	98	105	113	121	129	136	144
20		8	16	23	31	39	47	55	63	71	79	87	95	103	111	120	128	136	144	152

Adapted from Daniel, W.W. 1990. Applied Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed.

# **APPENDIX B**

# Vegetation and Land Use Rules

Table B-1. A listing of administrative rules addressing vegetation and land use requirements.

=======================================	
Definitions	
17.24.301(6)	Adjacent area
17.24.301(8)	Agricultural activities or farming
17.24.301(9)	Agricultural use
17.24.301(10)	Alluvial valley floor
17.24.301(11)	Alternative post-mining land use
17.24.301(16)	Arid and semiarid area
17.24.301(19)	Best technology currently available
17.24.301(28)	Cover
17.24.301(32)	Disturbed area
17.24.301(39)	Essential hydrologic functions
17.24.301(41)	Farm
17.24.301(43)	Flood irrigation
17.24.301(44)	Fragile lands
17.24.301(46)	Good ecological integrity
17.24.301(50)	Higher or better use
17.24.301(53)	Historically used for cropland
17.24.301(62)	Irreparable damage to the environment
17.24.301(64)	Land use
17.24.301(65)	Major Revision
17.24.301(72)	Mulch
17.24.301(75)	Noxious plants
17.24.301(90)	Prime farmland
17.24.301(93)	Productivity
17.24.301(99)	Rangeland
17.24.301(101)	Reclamation
17.24.301(103)	Reference area
17.24.301(105)	Renewable resource lands
17.24.301(107)	Road
17.24.301(109)	Sediment

Table B-1. - continued

======================================	======================================
:	
17.24.301(111)	Significant, imminent environmental harm
17.24.301(112)	Soil
17.24.301(115)	Spoil
17.24.301(116)	Stabilize
17.24.301(117)	Subirrigation
17.24.301(120)	Substantially disturb
17.24.301(133)	Undeveloped rangeland
17.24.301(135)	Upland area
Application Requirements	
17.24.302	Format and supplemental information
17.24.304	Baseline information
17.24.305	Maps
17.24.306	Prime farmland investigation
17.24.308(f)	Noxious weed control plan
17.24.312	Fish and wildlife plan (T&E spp.)
17.24.313	Reclamation plan
17.24.314	Protection of hydrologic balance
17.24.324	Prime farmlands: special application
	requirements
17.24.325	Alluvial valley floors: special application
	requirements
Permit Procedures	
17.24.404	Adequacy of fish and wildlife plan
17.24.415	Permit revisions
17.24.416	Permit renewal
17.24.417	Permit amendment
Backfilling and Grading Requiremer	nts
17.24.503	Small depressions
17.24.504	Permanent impoundments
17.24.515	Highwall reduction
17.24.518	Buffer zones
17.24.520	Disposal of excess spoil
	1

Table B-1. - continued

ARM	Subject
Transportation Facilities	=======================================
17.24.601	General requirements for roads and railroad
	loop construction
17.24.602	Location of roads and railroad loops
17.24.605	Hydrologic impact of roads and railroad loops
17.24.608	Impacts of other transport facilities
17.24.609	Other support facilities
17.24.610	Permanent roads
Hydrology	
17.24.631	General hydrology requirements
17.24.633	Water quality performance standards
17.24.634	Reclamation of drainage basins
17.24.636	Special requirements for temporary diversions
17.24.638	Sediment control measures
17.24.644	Protection of groundwater recharge
17.24.650	Post-mining rehabilitation of sediment ponds
17.24.651	Stream channel disturbances and buffer zones
_	
Revegetation and Protection	
17.24.702	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil
17.24.702 17.24.703	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques,
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717 17.24.718	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques, and land use practices
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717 17.24.718	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques, and land use practices Eradication of rills and gullies
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717 17.24.718	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques, and land use practices Eradication of rills and gullies Monitoring
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717 17.24.718 17.24.721 17.24.723 17.24.724	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques, and land use practices Eradication of rills and gullies Monitoring Use of revegetation comparison standards
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717 17.24.718 17.24.721 17.24.723 17.24.724 17.24.725	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques, and land use practices Eradication of rills and gullies Monitoring Use of revegetation comparison standards Period of responsibility
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717 17.24.718 17.24.721 17.24.723 17.24.724	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques, and land use practices Eradication of rills and gullies Monitoring Use of revegetation comparison standards
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717 17.24.718 17.24.721 17.24.723 17.24.724 17.24.725	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques, and land use practices Eradication of rills and gullies Monitoring Use of revegetation comparison standards Period of responsibility Vegetation production, cover, diversity,
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717 17.24.718 17.24.721 17.24.723 17.24.724 17.24.725 17.24.726	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques, and land use practices Eradication of rills and gullies Monitoring Use of revegetation comparison standards Period of responsibility Vegetation production, cover, diversity, density, and utility requirements Analysis for toxicity
17.24.702 17.24.703 17.24.711 17.24.713 17.24.714 17.24.716 17.24.717 17.24.718 17.24.721 17.24.723 17.24.724 17.24.725 17.24.726	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil Substitution of other materials for soil Establishment of vegetation Timing of seeding and planting Soil stabilizing practices Method of revegetation Planting of trees and shrubs Soil amendments, management techniques, and land use practices Eradication of rills and gullies Monitoring Use of revegetation comparison standards Period of responsibility Vegetation production, cover, diversity, density, and utility requirements Analysis for toxicity Protection and enhancement of fish and

Table B-1	continued
-----------	-----------

=========	
ARM	Subject
AINIVI	Subject
==========	
Alluvial Valley Floor	

## Alluvial Valley Floors

Allavial valley 110015	
17.24.801	Preservation of hydrologic functions and protection of farming
17.24.802	Protection of farming and prevention of material damage
17.24.804	Monitoring
17.24.805	Significance determination
17.24.806	Material damage determination

# **Prime Farmlands**

17.24.811	Soil handling
17.24.815	Revegetation

# Alternate Post-mining Land Use

17.24.821	Submission of plan
17.24.823	Approval of plan and review of operation

# Prospecting

17.24.1008	Revegetation

# **Bonding**

17.24.1116	Criteria and schedule for release of bond
17.27.1110	criteria and seriedate for release of bolid

# Designation of Lands Unsuitable

### APPENDIX C

# Montana Range Plants

hv

Carl Wamboli"

### Purpose

Regardless of backgrounds, people working with range plants are often perplexed at the lack of consistency among the many reference materials available on nomenclature and other pertinent plant characteristics. Thus the purpose of this painstaking compilation is an attempt to cite the currently most acceptable nomenclature and information relating to plant longevity, origin, season of growth and grazing response to cattle.

Undoubtedly many readers will find points of disagreement with their current understandings. However, if we expect to communicate effectively with one another, then standardization such as offered in this work will be necessary. Certainly, some points are subject to change as our knowledge increases through research and experience. Also, it is possible that errors do exist in this work and if discovered the author would appreciate learning of them so that corrections can be made in subsequent printings.

A great many thank-yous are in order for those individuals who spent hours reviewing the materials. While it is probably unwise to name individuals for fear of neglecting some, the range staff of the Soil Conservation Service. USDA, located in Montana, and the Range Science staff at Montana State University deserve special mention.

#### How To Use This Publication

Each plant is listed twice, once alphabetically by scientific name, and again alphabetically by common name. The reader should choose the listing he finds easiest to use.

Plants are subdivided by vegetative class, including: 1) grass; 2) grasslike plants; 3) forbs, ferns and mosses; 4) cactus; and, 5) half-shrubs, shrubs, trees and vines.

<sup>\*</sup> Associate Professor, Dept. of Animal & Range Science and former Extension Service Specialist.

The four capital letters following each plant name provide the following information:

# I. First column - Longevity

P = perennial B = biennial

A = annual

# II. Second column — Origin N = native (to North America)

I = introduced (to North America)

# III. Third column - Season of Growth

C = cool season (flowers during spring or early summer)

W = warm season (flowers during late summer or fall)

X = inappropriate

## IV. Fourth column -

Grazing Response to Cattle Use\*

D = decreaser

I = increaser V = invader

X = inappropriate

## Grasses (Common Name)

in the state of th

	J. J	
Alkali bluegrass	PNCD	Poa juncifolia
Alkali cordgrass	PNWD	Spartina geneilis
Alkali muhly	PNWI	Muhlenbergia asperifolia
Alkali sacaton	PNWD	Sporobolus mendes
Alpine bluegrass	PNCD	Pon alpina
Alpine foxtail	PNCD	Hopecurus aljunus
Alpine timothy	PNCD	Phleum alpinum
American mannagrass	PNCD	Glycena grandis
American sloughgrass	ANCV	Beckmannia syzigachne
Annual bluegrass	AICV	Роп аппиа
Barnyardgrass	AIWV	Echinochloa crusgalli
Basin wildrye	PNCD	Elymus cinereus
Bearded wheatgrass	PNCD	Agropyron subsecundum
Beardless wheatgrass	PNCD	Agropyron spicatum var.
		inerme
Big bluegrass	PNCD	Poa ampla
Big bluestem	PNWD	Andropogon gerardii
Bluebunch wheatgrass	PNCD	Agropyron spicatum
Blue grama	PNWI	Bouteloua gracilis
Bluejoint reedgrass	PNCD	Calamagrossis canadensis
Blue wildrye	PNCD	Elymus glaucus
Bottlebrush squirreltail	PNCI	Sitanion hystrix
Brookgrass	PNCD	Catabrosa aquatica
Buffalograss	PNWI	Buchloe dactyloides
Bulbous bluegrass	PICV	Poa hulbosa
California brome	ANCV	Bromus rarinatus
California danthonia	PNCI	Danthonia californica
Canada bluegrass	PICV	Poa compressa
Canada wildrye	PNCD	Elymus canadensis
Canarygrass	AICV	Phalaris canariensis
Canby bluegrass	PNCD	Poa canbri
Chealgrass	AICV	Bromus tectorum
Chess brome	AICV	Bromus secalinus
Columbia needlegrass	PNCD	Stipa columbiana
Common reedgrass	PNWD	Phragmites communis
Crested wheatgrass	PICV	Agropyron cristatum
Cusick bluegrass	PNCI	Pon cusickii
Drooping woodreed	PNCI	Cinna latifolia
False buffalograss Fendler threeawn	ANWV	Wunron squarrosa
	PNWI	Aristida fendleriana
Fowl bluegrass	PICV	Pon palustris
Fostail barley	PNCI	Hordeum juhatum
Fringed brome	PNCD	Bromus ciliatus
Green bristlegrass	AIWV	Setaria randis
Green needlegrass Hairy brome	PNCD	Stipa viridula
	AICV	Bromus commutatus
Hard sheep fescue	PICV	Festuca ovina var. duascula
Idaho fescue	PNC I	Festuca vluhoensis
Idaho redtop	PNCD	Igenstis ulahimnisis

<sup>&</sup>quot;It is important to realize that grazing responses of individual plants often change greatly with use by different classes of animals.

Indian cicegrass	PNCD	7.7	1.0		
Inland saltgrass	PNWI	Or copies hemenmeles	Sand dropseed	B / W 1	Same a 1
Intermediate wheatgrass		Distichlis stricta	Seribner wheatgrass	PNCD	Sparobolus eryptandens
	b i C A	lgropveon intermediam	Sheep fescue	PNCI	Igraps can scribaers
Italian ryegrass	PICV	Lolium multitlorum	Shortawn foxtail	PNCD	Festure minn
Japanese brome	TICL	Bromus paponicus	Sidenats grama	PNWD	Unperatus acqualis
Jointed goatgrass	AICV	legdaps extindaca	Sixweeks fescue		Bauteloun enrapendula
Kentucky bluegrass	PICV	Post praterists	Slender wheatgrass	ANCV	Valput setaflora
Letterman needlegrass	PNCD	Stipa lettermani	. Willet Willeargrass	PNCD	Igrops con trucks condum
Little bluestem	PNWD	Schiznehvrium scoparium	Smooth brome		(1. caninum)
Little barley	ANCV	Hordeum pusillum	Soft brome	PICV	Beamus inermis
Macoun wildrye	PNCD	Elvmus macounii		AICV	Bromus mollis
Marsh muhly	PNWI	Muhlenbergia racemosa	Spike bentgrass	PNCD	Igrasus exarata
Mar muhly	PNWI	Muhlenbergia richardsonis	Spikefescue	PNCD	Hespernehlner kingu
Meadow barley	PNCI	Hordeum brachvantherum	Spikeout	PNCI	Helictotrichina hunkeri
Meadow fescue	PICV	Festura elatior	Spiketrisetum	PNCD	Trisetum spicatum
			Sunkgrass	V 1 M. A.	Eragrasis cilinnensis
Meadow foxtail	PICV	(F. pratensis)	Streambank wheatgrass	PNCI	Igropyron repartium
Mountain brome	PNCD	Alopecurus pratensis	Sweetkrass	PNCD	Hierochloe wlorata
orden brome	r. CD	Bromus marginatus	Switchgrass	PNWD	Panieum viegatum
Mountain hairgrass	DVCD	(B. carinatus)	Thickspike wheatgrass	PNC I	Agrapyron dasystachyum
Mountain muhly	PNCD	Deschampsia atropurpurea	Ticklegrass	PNCI	Agrastis senhen
	PNWD	Muhlenbergia montana	Timber danthonia	PNCI	
Muttongrass	PNCD	Poa fendleriana	Timothy	PICV	Danthonia intermedia
Needleandthread	PNCI	Stipa comata	Tufted hairgrass	PNCD	Phleum peatense
Nevada bluegrass	PNCI	Poa nevadensis	Tumblegrass	PNWI	Deschampsun caespitasa
Nodding brome	PNCD	Bromus anomalus	Velvelgrass		Schedonnardus paniculatus
Nuttall alkaligrass	PNCD	Puccinellia nuttalliana	Wreping alkaligrass	PICV	Holeus Innatus
		(P. airoides)		PICV	Pucemellia distans
Onespike danthonia	PNCI	Danthonia unispicata	Western needlegrass	PNCD	Stipa occidentalis
Oniongrass	PNCD.	Melica bulbosa	Western wheatgrass	PNCI*	Igropyron mithii
Orchardgrass	PICV	Dactylis glomerata	Wild oat	AICV	Trena fatua
Parry danthonia	PNCI	Danthonia parryi	Williams needlegrass	PNCD	Supa williamsii
Perennial ryegrass	PICV	Lolium perenne	Witchgrass	ANWY	Panienm capillace
Persian ryegrass	AICV		Yellow bristlegrass	VIM.A.	Setaria lutescens
Pine bluegrass	PNCI	Lolium persicum			
Pinegrass	PNCI	Poa scabrella		_	
Plains bluegrass	PNCI	Calamagrostis rubescens		Grasses	
Plains muhly	PNWD	Poa arida	(Sc	ientific Nan	ne)
Plains reedgrass	PNCI	Muhlenbergia cuspidata	*		,
Porcupinegrass		Calamagrostis montanensis			خ
Poverty danthonia	PNCD	Stipa spartea		ALCV	4
Prairie cordgrass	PNCI	Danthonia spicata		Frel	-
	PNWD	Spartina pectinata		8 8 8 8 W	
Prairie junegrass	<b>PNCI</b>	Koeleria cristata		35 83	
Prairie sandreed	PNWD	Galamovilfa longifolia	Aegilops cylindrica	ALCV	jointed goatgrass
Pubescent wheatgrass	PICV	Agropyron trichophorum	Agropyron cristatum	PICI	erested wheatgrass
Purple oniongrass	PNCD	Melica spectabilis	4. dasvstach vum²	PNCI	
Purple reedgrass	PNCD	Calamagrostis purpurascens	4. intermedium	PICV	thickspike wheatgrass
Quackgrass	PICV	Agropyron repens	A. repens	PICV	intermediate wheatgras-
Rabbitfootgrass	AICV	Polypagon monspeliensis	1. riparium	PNCI	quarkera
Rattlesnake brome	AICV	Bromus brizaeformis	1. scribneri		streambank wheatgrass
Red fescue	PICV	Festuca rubra	A. smithii	PNCD	Scribner wheatgrass
Red threeawn	PNWI	Aristida longiseta	1. spicatum	PNCI	western wheatgrass
Redtop	PICV	Agrostis alba		PNCD	bluebunch wheatgrass
Reed ranarygrass	PNCD	Phalaris arundinacea	A. spicatum var. incrme	PNCD	beardless wheatgrass
Richardson needlegrass -	PNCD		4. subsecundum	P-N C D	bearded wheatgrass
Rough fescue	PNCD	Stipa richardsonii			
Russian wildrye		Festuca scabrella	1 towns and the second		
Sandberg bluegrass	PICV	Elvmus junceus	* Igrops constitue complex mel	mir L. desettoeun	. I permitteeme and I where
Sand bluestem	PNCI	Pou sandbergii			
Sandbur	PNWD	Andropogon hallii	2 Igraps can dass stack sum complex	meludes: Lulhar	ins. I being and I gettithen
- annual	1 1.16.1.	Cencheus longispinus	*Commonly encountered as a de-	reaser, but more o	ften reuts as municipal

1. trach venulum			F ocina car, duriscula	PICV	h - 1 1 1
( L. cuninum)	PNCD	slender wheatgrass	F subcu	PICV	hard sheep fescue
I. (rachophorum	PICV	pubescent wheatgrass	F. scabrella	PNCD	red fescue
lumins alba	BICA	redtop	Glycena grandis	PNCD	rough fescue
1. exarata	PNCD	-pike bentgrass	Helictotrichon hookers	PNCI	American mannagrass
1. idahwensis	PACD	blaho redtop	Hesperochlon kingii	PNCD	-biyeout
1. scubra	PNCI	ticklegrass	Herochloe odomin	PNCD	-pikefestur
Unpreutus aequalis	PNCD	shortawn foxtail	Holcus lanatus	PICV	>wertgrass
1. alpinus	PNCD	alpine fortail	Hordeum brachvantherum	PNCI	velvetgrass
1. pratensis	PICV	meadow foxtail	H. jubatum	PNCI	meadow barley
ladropogoa gerardii	PNWD	big bluestem	H. pustlum	ANGV	fortail harley
I. hallii	PNWD	sand bluestem	Kuelena cristata	PNCI	little barley
Leistula fendleriana	P V W I	Fendler threeawn	Lolium multiflorum	PICV	prairie junegrass
1. longiseta	PNWI	red threeawn	I perenne	PICV	Italian ryegrass
liena fatua	AICV	wild out	L. persicum	AICV	perennial evegrass
Beckmannia svzigachne	ANCV	American sloughgrass	Melica bulbasa	PNCD	Persian rvegrass
Bouteloua curtipendula	P.N.M.D	sideouts grama	M. spectabilis	PNCD	oniongrass
B. geneilis	PNWI	blue grama	Muhlenbergia asperifolia	PNWI	purple oniongrass
Bromus anomalus	PNCD	nodding brome	M. cuspidata	PNWD	alkali muhly
B. brizaeformis	AICV	rattlesnake brome	M. montana		plains mulily
B. carinatus	ANCV	California brome	M. racemosa	PNWD	mountain multly
B. ciliatus	PNCD	fringed brome	M. richardsonis	PNWI	marsh mulily
B. commutatus	AICV	hairy brome		PNWI	mat muhly
R. inermis	PICV	smooth brome	Munron squarrosa	ANWV	false buffalograss
B. juponicus	AICV	Japanese brome	Orvzopsis hymenoides	PNCD	Indian ricegrass
R. marginatus			Panicum capillare	ANWY	witchgrass
(B. carinatus)	PNCD	mountain brome	P. virgatum	PNW.D	switchgrass
B. mallis	AICV	soft brome	Phulans arundinacen	PNCD	reed canarygrass
B. secalinus	AICV	chess brome	P. canariensis	AICV	ranarygrass
B. tectorum	AICV	cheatgrass	Phleum alpinum	PNCD	alpine timothy
Buchloe dactyloides	PNWI	buffalograss	P. pratense	PICV	timothy
Calamagrastis canadensis	PNCD	bluejoint reedgrass	Phragmites communis	PNWD	common reedgrass
C. montanensis	PNCI	plains reedgrass	Poa alpina	PNCD	alpine bluegrass
C. purpurascens	PNCD	purple reedgrass	P. ampla	PNCD	big bluegrass
C. rubescens	PNCI	pinegrass	P. annun	AICV	annual hlurgrass
Calamovilfa longifolia	PNWD	prairie sandreed	P. anda	PNCI	plains bluegrass
Catabrosa aquatica	PNCD	brookgrass	P. bulbosa	PICV	bulbous bluegrass
Cenchrus longispinus	ANWV	sandbur	P. canbvi	PNCD	Canby bluegrass
Cinna latifolia	PNCI	drooping woodreed	P. compressa	PICV	Canada bluegrass
Dactylis glomerata	PICV	orchardgrass	P. cusickii P. fendleriana	PNCL	Cusick bluegrass
Danthonia californica	PNCI	California danthonia		PNCD	muttongrass
D. intermedia	PNCI	timber danthonia	P. juncifolia P. nevadensis	PNCD	alkali bluegrass
D. parryi	PNCI	Parry danthonia	P. palustris	PNCI	Nevada bluegrass
D. spicata	PNCI	poverty danthonia	P. praterisis	PICV	fowl blurgrass
D. unispicata	PNCI	onespike danthonia	P. sandbergii	PICV	Kentucky bluegrass
Deschampsia atropurpurea	PNCD	mountain hairgrass		PNCI	Sandberg bluegrass
D. cuespitosa	PNCD	tufted hairgrass	Polypogon monspeliensis Puccinellia distans	AICV	rabbitfootgrass
Distichlis stricta	PYWI	inland saltgrass	P. nuttalliana	PICV	weeping alkaligrass
Echinochloa crusgalli	AIWV	barnvardgrass	(P. airoides)		F23 80.000.000
Elymus canadensis	PNCD	Canada wildrye		PNCD	Nuttall alkaligrass
E. cinereus	PNCD	basin wildrye	Schedonnardus paniculatus	B Z M. I	tumblegrass
E. glnucus	PNCD	blue wildrye	Schizachvrium scoparium	PNWD	little bluestem
E. junceus	PICV	Russian wildrye	Setaria lutescens	*IMA	vellow bristlegrass
E. macounii	PNCD	Macoun wildrye	S. ciridis	AIWV	green bristlegrass
Erngrostis cilianensis	AIWV	stinkgrass	Sitanion hysinx	PNCI	bottlehrush squirreltail.
Festuca elation			Spartina gracilis	PNWD	alkali cordgrass
(F. pratensis)	PICV	meadow fescue	S. pectinata	PNWD	prairie cordgrass
F. idahoensis	PNC I	Idaho fescue	Sporoholus airnides	PNWD	alkali sacaton
			S. cryptandrus	PNWI	-and drop-red
F. mina	PNCI	sheep fescue	Supa columbiana	PNCD	Columbia needlegrass

S. comata	PNCI	needleandthread
S. lettermant	PNCD	Letterman needlegrass
S. occidentalis	PNCD	western needlegrass
S. nehardsonii	PNCD	Richardson needlegrass
S. sparten	PNCD	porcupinegrass
S. viridula	PNCD	green needlegrass
S. williamsii	PNCD	Williams needlegrass
Teisetum spicatum	PNCD	spike trisetum
Vulpur octoflora	ANCV	sixweeks fescue

## Grasslike Plants (Common Name)

	1030	
Carex eleocharis (C. stenophylla)	PNCI	needleleaf sedge
Carex festivella	PNCD	ovalhead sedge
Carex filifolia	PNCI	threadleaf sedge
Curex geveri	PNCD	elk sedge
Carex heliophila	PNCI	sun sedge
Carex nebraskensis	PNCD	Nebraska sedge
Curex pensylvanica	PNCI	vellow sedge
Eleocharis spp.	PNCI	spikesedges
Juneus balticus	PNCD	Baltic rush
Luzula glabrata	PNCD	smooth woodrush

## Grasslike Plants (Scientific Name)

	1313	74
Baltic rush	PNCD	Juneus balticus
Elk sedge	PNCD	Carex geveri
Nehraska sedge	PNCD	Carex nebraskensis
Needleleaf sedge	PNCI	Carex eleocharis (C. stenophylla
Ovalhead sedge	PNCD	Carex festivella
Smooth woodrush	PNCD	Luzula glabenta
Spikesedges	PNCI	Eleocharis spp.
Sun sedge	PNCI	Carex heliophila
Threadleaf sedge	PNCI	Carex filifolia
Yellow sedge	PNCI	Carex pensylvanica

## Forbs, Ferns and Mosses (Common Name)

	San San Francis	5
Alfalfa	PICV	
Alkaline bladderpod	PNCI	Lesquerella alpina
Alpine bluebell	PNCI	Mertensia alpina
Alpine dustymaiden	PNCI	Chaenacus alpina
Alpine forgetmenot	PNCI	Entrichium elongatum (E. namun)
Alumroot	PNCI	Heuchern richardsonii
American bistort	PNCI	Polygonum bistortoides
American licorice	PNWI	Glycyrrhiza lepidota
American vetch	PNCD	Vicia americana
Andersons larkspur	PNCI	Delphinium andersonii
Annual eriogonum	ANWV	Егіодопит аппиит
Annual sunflower	ANWV	Helianthus annuus
Aromatic aster	PNWI	Aster oblongifolius
Arrowleaf balsamroot	PNCI	Balsamorhiza sagittata
Ballhead gilia	PNCI	Gilia congesta
Ballhead sandwort	PNCI	Arenaria congesta
Bastard toadflax	PNCI	Comandra pallida (C. umbellata)
Beargrass	PNCI	Xerophyllus tenax
Bessey pointvetch	PNCI	Oxytropis bessevi
Bigbract verbena	PNWI	Verbena bracteata
Biscuitroot	PNCI	Lomatium foeniculaceum
Bitterroot	PNCI	Lewisia rediviva
Black medic	AICV	Medicago lupulina
Black mustard	AICV	Brassica nigra
Blacksampson	PNWD	Echinacea angustifolia
Blanketflower	PNWI	Gaillardia aristata
Blue-eyed grass	PNCI	Sisyrinchium angustifolium
Blue-eyed Mary	ANCV	Collinsia partiflora
Blue flax	PNCI	Linum lewisii
Blue lettuce	PNWI	Lactuca pulchella
Blue mustard	AICV	Chorispora tenella
Brackenfern	PNXI	Pteridium aquilinum
Breadroot scurfpea	PNCD	Psoralea esculenta
Broadfruit mariposa	PNCD	Calochortus nitidus
Broadleaf arnica	PNCI	Arnica latifolia
Browns larkspur	PNCL	Delphinium brownii
Bulb waterhemlock	PYWI	Cicuta bulbifera
Bull thistle	BIWV	Cirsium vulgare
Burclover	AICV	Medicago hispida
Burdock	BIWV	Arctium minus
Burkes larkspur	PNCI	Delphinium burkei
Butter and eggs	PIWV	Linaria vulgaris
California falsehellebore	PNCI	Veratrum californicum
Camas	PNCI	Camassia quamash
Canada thistle	PICV	Cirsium arvense
Canada violet	PNCI	Viola canadensis
Carolina draba	ANCV	Draba reptans
Cattail	PNCI	Typha latifolia

Charlock mustard	AIC	V Brassen kaber			
Chickweed	ALC		Footbill deathcamas	PNCI	2
Clasping pepperweed	A L C		Forgetmenot	PNCI	a construction and the same
Clustered broomrape	PNC		The same of the sa		We motival posters
Corklebur	118		Fuzzytongue penstemon	PNCI	(M. selection)
Culumbia monkshood	PNC		Cover lark-pur	PNCI	Pensteman enantherus
Common eveningprimrose	BNC		Glacier lily	PNCI	Delphinium geveri
Common horsetail	PNX		Cland conquefoil	PNCI	Erythronium grandiflorum
Common milkweed	PNW		Glaurus lark-pur	PNCI	Potentilla glandulosa
Common sainfoin	PIC		Goalwerd	PICV	Delphinium glaucescens
Common spiderwort	PNC		Coldenweed	PNCI	Hypericum perforatum
Common starlily	PNC	The second second second second	Gordon ivesia	PNCI	Vachueranthera grindeloide
Common tanay	PIW		Green falsehellebore	PNCI	liena guidonii
Cow parsnip	PNCI	tuight	Green gentian	BNCV	L'erateum vicide
Creeping silene	PNC	Tribute and territaria	Green milkweed	B / C /	Frasera sperinsa
Creeping white prairie aster	The state of the s	antine repens	Creen agewort	The second second	Asclepius viruliflora
Cudweed sagewort	PNW	Januara	Groundsherry	P / W I	Actemisia dencunculus
	PNW	The state of the s		P N W I	Physulis longifolia
Curlycup gumweed Curly dock	BNW		Croundplum milkvetch	PNCD	Astragalus erassiearpus
Cutleaf balsamroot	PIC	The state of the s	Hairy goldenaster	PNW1	Heterothern villosa
	PNCI	- macrophitaa	Halogeton	AIWV	Halogeton glomeratus
Curleaf coneflower	PNWI	The section of the product	Heartleaf arnica	PNCI	Arnica cordifolia
Cutleaf nightshade	ANWI	- Indiana	Hemlock waterparsnip	b z a. i	Sium sinve
Dalmation toadflax	PIWV	and the commentation	Hemp dogbane	b v a. 1	Apocynum cannabinum
Dandelion (common)	PICV		Henbane	BICV	Hvosevamus niger
Dense clubmoss	PNXI		Hoary aster	BNWV	Machaeranthera canescens
Desert alyssum	ANCV		Hoary balsamroot	PNCI	Balsamochiza incana
Desert princesplume	PNCI		Holboell rockcres-	BNCV	Arabis holboellii
Desert wirelettuce	PNWI		Hood phlox	PNCI	Phlox hoodii
Dotted gayfeather	PNWD	Liatris punctata	Hook violet	PNCI	Viola adunca
Douglas waterhemlock	PNWI	Cicuta douglasii	Hooker fairybell	PNCI	Disporum hookeri
Downy Indianpaintbrush	PNCI	Castilleja sessiliflora	Hooker sandwort	PNCI	Arenaria hookeri
Drummond milkvetch	PNCI	Astragalus drummondii	Horsemint	PNCI	Monarda fistulosa
Dustymaiden	BNCV		Horseweed	ANWY	Conven canadensis
Dwarf nettle	AICV		Houndstongue	BICV	Cvnoglassum officinale
Eastern Iomatium	PNCI	Lomatium orientale	India mustard	AICV	Brassica juncea
Elk thistle	PNCI	Cirsium foliosum	Jimsonweed	ANWI	Datura stramonium
		(C. scariosum)	Lambsquarters goosefoot	AIWY	Chenopodium album
Elephanthead	PNCI	Pedicularis groenlandica	Lambstongue groundsel	PNCI	Senecio integerrimus
Engelmann aster	PNWI	Aser engelmannii	Lanceleaf springbeauty	PNCI	Claytonia lanceolata
Fairyslipper	PNCI	Calypso bulbosa	Lanceleaved sage	ANWY	Salvia reflexa
False pennyroyal	PNCI	Hedeoma drummondii	Leafy spurge	PICV	Euphorbia esula
False prairie boneset	PNWD	Kuhnia eupatorioides	Leopard lily	PNCD	Fritillaria atropurpurea
False solomonseal	PNCI	Smilacina racemosa	Lewisia	PNCI	Lewisia pygmaea
Fanweed	AICV	Thlaspi arvense	Lily of the valley	PNCI	Smilacina stellata
Fernleaf lousewort	PNCI		Littleflower penstemon	PNCI	Penstemon procesus
Few flowered buckwheat	PNWI	Pedicularis cystopteridifoli	Littlepod falseflax	AICV	Camelina microcarpa
The state of the s	1	Eriogonum pauciflorum	Longleaf phlox	PNCI	
Field bindweed	PIWV	(E. multiceps)	Longstalk clover	PNCD	Phlox longifolia
Field chickweed	PNCI	Convolvulus arvensis	Low fleabane	PNCI	Trifolium longipes
Field fluffweed	AIWV	Cerastium arvense	Low larkspur	PNCI	Engeron pumilus
Field mint	PNWV	Filago arvensis	Manyflowered aster	PNWI	Delphinium bicolor
Field sagewort		Mentha arvensis	Marsh arrowgrass		Aster ericoides
s term safemott	PNWI	Artemisia campestris	Marshelder sumpweed	PNCI	Taglochin palustris
Field sowthistle		(1. canadensis)	Marsh horsetail	ANWV	Iva xanthifolia
	PIWV	Sonchus arvensis	Maximilians sunflower	PNSI	Equisetum palustre
Filaree	AICV	Erodium cicutarium		PNWD	Helianthus maximiliani
Fineleaf hymenopappus	PNCI	Hymenopappus filifolius	Meadow deathcamas	PNCI	Zvgadenus venenosus
Fireweed	<b>BNCI</b>	Epilobium angustifolium			(Z. intermedius)
Fivepetal blazingstar	BAMA	Mentzelia laevicaulis	Minerscandle	BICI	Crypthantha bradbariana
Flannel mullern	BIWV	Verbascum thapsus	22		(C. celasundes)
		and the second s	Missouri goldenroil	B / W I	Solidago missouriensis

Missouri milkvetch	PNC	I stragalus missauriensis	D. D.	100 000000	
Muss silene	PYC		Pricklypoppy	INW	- Innstatemes
Mountain bluebell	PNC		Purple conellower	b z m. l	Fehinacea pallida
Mountain deathcamas	PNC		Purple pointland	PAC	
Mountain gentian	PYW		Purple prairieclover	B / # 1	minimize proprietation
Mountain hollyhork	PNC		Pursh loco	PNCI	I stragalus purshu
Mountain ladyslipper	PNCI		Pursh seepweed	ANWI	Company of the party of the par
Mountain sweetroot	PNC	The state of the s	Queencup beadlily	PNCI	The state of the s
Mountain thermopsis	PNCI		Red glasswort	ANWI	
Vulesear wyethia	PNCI	The state of the s	Red kittentail	PNCI	
Musk thistle	BICV		Red monkeyflower	PNWI	
Varrowleaf gromwell	PNCI	The second secon	Redroot pigweed	AIWV	
Narrowleaf poisonvetch	PNCI	The state of the s	Richardson geranium	PNCD	
Narrowleaved four-o'clock	PNCI		Rulgeseed spurge	ANCV	- I min a mi prospermu
Narrowleaf Indianpaintbrush	PNCI		Rocket larkspur	AICV	Delphinium ajacis
Selsons larkspur	PNCI	and the same of th	Rocky Mountain beeplant	ANWV	
and the same			Rocky Mountain gayfeather	PNWD	Control of Marie and Marie
Nettleleaf gianthyssop	PNCI	(D. nuttallianum)	Rocky Mountain iris	PNCI	
Nineleaf lomatium	PNCI	Agastache urticifolia	Rose pussytoes	PNCI	Antennaria rosea
Nodding onion	PNCI	Lomatium triternatum	<ul> <li>Rough pennyroyal</li> </ul>	ANCV	Hedeoma hispida
Northern bedstraw	PNWI	Allium cernuum	Roundleaf harebell	PNCI	
Northern blue violet	PNCI	Galium boreale	Rush skeletonweed	PNWI	Lygodesmia juncea
Northern sweetvetch	PNCD	l'iola septentrionalis	Russian knapweed	PIWV	Centaurea repens
Northwest cinquefoil	PNCI	LICET HATTER OUT COLE COLE	Russian thistle	AIWV	Salsola kali
Northwestern mariposa	PNCD	Potentilla gracilis	Rusty lupine	ANCV	Lupinus pusillus
Nuttall eveningprimrose		Calochortus elegans	Sagebrush buttercup	PNCI	Ranunculus glaberrimus
Nuttall evolvulus	PNC1	Oenothera nuttallii	Sagebrush mariposa	PNCD	Calochortus macrocarpus
Addition evolvillas	PNWI	Evolvulus nuttallianus	Salsify	BICV	Tragopogon dubius
Nuttall violet		(E. pilosus)	Scarlet gaura	PNWI	Gaura coccinea
Oakleaf goosefoot	PNCI	Viola nuttallii	Scarlet gilia	BNCV	Gilia aggregata
Oblongleaf bluebell	AIWV	Chenopodium glaucum	Scarlet globemallow	PNCI	Sphaeralcea coccinea
Orange arnica	PNCI	Mertensin oblongifolia	Seaside arrowgrass	PNCI	Triglochin maritima
Pacific Iupine	PNCI	Arnica fulgens	Segolily mariposa	PNCD	Calochortus nuttallii
Pacific trillium	PNCI	Lupinus lepidus	Sheep sorrel	PICV	Rumex acetosella
Pale agoseris	PNCI	Trillium ovatum	Shooting star	PNCI	Doderntheon pauciflorum
Pale alvaum	PNCD	Agoseris glauca	Showy aster	PNWI	Aster conspicuus
Parry townsendia	ANCV	Alvssum alvssoides	Showy milkweed	PNWI	Asclepins speciosa
Pasqueflower	PNCI	Townsendia parryi	Shrubby eveningprimrose	PNCI	Oenothera serrulata
Pearly everlasting	PNCI	Anemone patens	Silky lupine	PNCI	Lupinus sericeus
Properweed whitetop	PNCI	Annyhalis margaritacea	Silverleaf scurfpea	PNWI	Psoralea argophylla
Pink microsteris	PICV	Carduria draba	Silverweed cinquefoil	PNCI	Potentilla anserina
Pink pyrola	ANCV	Microsteris gracilis	Silvery lupine	PNCI	Lupinus argenteus
Pinnate tansymustard	PNCI	Pyrola asarifolia	Slenderleaf collomia	ANCV	Collomia linearis
Pinque hymenoxys	ANCV	Descurninia pinnata	Slimflower scurfpea	PNWI	Psoralen tenuistora
Plains bahia	PNWI	Hymenoxys richardsonii	Slimleaf goosefoot	ANWV	Chenopodium leptophyllum
Plains milkweed	PNCI	Bahia oppositifolia	Slim larkspur	PNCI	Delphinium depauperatum
	PNWI	Asclepias pumila	Small-leaf pussytoes	PNCI	Antennaria partiflora
Pot-on hemlock	BIWV	Conium maculatum	Smooth aster	PNWI	Aster laevis
	PNSI	Polypodium hesperium	Smooth yellow violet	PNCI	l'iola glabella
	PNW I	Iva axillaris	Sneezeweed	PNWI	Helenium autumnale
	PNWI	Ratibula columnifera	Snow-on-the-mountain	ANWV	Euphorbia marginata
The state of the s	PNCI	Senecio plattensis	Spearmint	PIWV	Wentha spicata
	PNCI	Illium textile	Spear saltbush	ANWV	Atriplex patula
	ANCV	Lepidium densiflorum	Speckled loco	PNCI	Astragalus lentiginosus
	PNCI	Grum triflorum	Spiny cocklebur	AIWV	Xanthium spinosum
	ANWV	II-lianthus petiolaris	Spiny goldenweed	PNWI	Happlopappus spinulosus
	PNCI	Thermopsis rhombifolia	Spotted knapweed	BIWV	Centauren maculosa
Prickly lettuce	BIWV	Lactura serrola	Spreading logbane	PNWI	Ipoevnum androsaemifolium
Pricklypoppy	P > W 1	11. scarriola) Tryemome intermedia	Spreading fleahane	BNCV	Engeron divergens
			Spur lupine		

Starflower	PNCI	Lithopheagma parriflore
Steershead	PNCI	Dicentra uniflora
Stemless hymenoxys	PNCI	Hymenaxyy acaulis
Stemless nailwort	PNCI	Paronychia sessiliflora
Sticky geranium	PNCD	Geranuum viscosissimum
Stiff goldenrod	PNWI	Solidago rigida
Suff sunflower	PNWD	Helianthus rigidus
Stiffstem flax	ANCV	Linum rigidum
Stinging nettle	PICV	l'rtica dioca
Stoneserd	PNCI	Lithospermum ruderale
Sugarbowl	PNCI	Clematis hirsutissima
Suksdorfs broomrape	PNCI	Orobanche ludoviciana
Sulfur eriogonum	PNCI	Eriogonum umbellatum
Sulfur lupine	PNCI	
Summer cypress	AIWV	Lupinus sulphureus
Sweetscented bedstraw	PNWI	Kochia scoparia
		Galium triflorum
Tasseup lupine		Lupinus enudatus
Tall larkspur	PNCI	Delphinium occidentale
Tapertip hawksbeard	PNCI	Crepis acuminata
Tenpetal blazingstar	BNWV	Mentzelia decapetala
Thirkleaf groundsel	PNWI	Senecio crassulus
Thinleaved owlclover	ANCV	Orthocarpus tenuifolius
Threadlesf phacelia	ANCV	Phacelia linearis
Threeleaved milkvetch	PNCI	Auragalus gilviflorus
Timber milkvetch	PNCI	Astragalus miser
Toothed microseris	PNCI	Microseris cuspidata
Tuberous sweetpea	PNCD	Lathyrus tuberosus
Tufted eveningprimrose	PNCI	Oenothera caespitosa
Tufted milkvetch	PNCI	Astragalus spatulatus
Tumble mustard	AICV	Sisymbrium altissimum
Tumbleweed pigweed	ANWV	Amaranthus graecizans
Twin arnica	PNCI	Arnica sororia
Twinleaf bedstraw	ANCV	Galium bifolium
Twogrooved milkvetch	PNCI	Astragalus bisulcatus
Umbrella buckwheat	PNCI	Eriogonum herneleoides
Velvet lupine	PNCI	Lupinus leurophyllus
Velvety goldenrod	PNWI	Solidago mollis
Virginia strawberry	PNCI	Fragaria rirginiana
Wartberry fairybell	PNCI	Disporum trachycarpum
Washington Jupine	PNCI	
Waterleaf	PNCI	Lupinus polyphyllus
Wavvlraf thistle	BNWV	Hydrophyllum capitatum
		Cirsium undulatum
Waxleaf penstemon	PNCI	Penstemon nitidus
Western coneflower	PNCI	Rudbeckia occidentalis
Western goldenrod	PNWI	Solidago occidentalis
Western meadow aster	PNWI	Aster campestris
Western meadowrue	PNCI	Thalictrum occidentale
Western ragweed	PNWI	Ambrosia pulostachya
Western rockjasmine	ANCV	Androsace occidentalis
Western roundleaved violet	PNCI	Viola orbiculata
Western stickseed	ANCV	Lappula redowskii
Western wallflower	BNCV	Ervsimum asperum
Western varrow	PNWI	Achillen lanulosa
		(1. millefolium)
White hawkweed	PNWD	Hierarium albiflorum
White milkwort	PNCI	Polygala alba
		The state of the s
White mustard	AICV	Reasurea histo
White mustard White penstemon	PNCI	Brassica hirta Penstemon albidus

White pointloso	PNCI	Oxytropis serven
White prairieclover	PNWD	Petalostemon candidum
Whitestern eveningprimruse	ANCV	Oenothera albienulis
White sweetclover	BICV	Melilotus alba
White wild sweetpea	PNCD	Lathyrus ochroleucus
White wvethia	PNCI	W vethia belianthoides
Whorled milkweed	PNWI	Isclepias retivillata
Wild hyacinth	PNCD	Brodiuen douglasii
Wild parsley	PNCI	Musineon divarientum
Woodland pinedrops	PNCI	Pterospora andromedea
Woodland sage	PIWV	Salvia sylvesiis
Woodland strawberry	PNCI	Francia vesca
Wood lily	PNCD	Lilium philadelphicum
Woolly eriophyllum	PNCI	Errophyllum lanatum
Woolly groundsel	PNCI	Senecio canus
Woolly plantain	ANCV	Plantago patagonica (P. purshu)
Wyeth lupine	PNCI	Lupinus wyethii
Wyoming Indianpaintbrush	PNCI	Castilleja linariaefolia
Yampa	PNWI	Perideridia gairdneri
Yellow beeplant	ANWV	Cleome lutea
Yellowbell	PNCI	Fritillaria pudica
Yellow buckwheat	PNCI	Eriogonum flavum
Yellow Indianpaintbrush	PNCI	Castilleja flava
Yellow monkeyflower	PNWI	Mimulus guttatus
Yellow owlclover	ANCV	Orthocarpus luteus
Yellow skunkcabbage	PNCI	Lysichitum americanum
Yellow starthistle	AIWV	Centauren solstitialis
Yellow stonecrop	PNCI	Sedum stenopetalum
Yellow sweetclover	BICV	Melilotus officinalis

## Forbs, Ferns and Mosses (Scientific Name)



Achillea lanulosa (A. millefolium)	PNWI	western yarrow
Aconitum columbianum	PNCI	Columbia monkshood
Agastache urticifolia	PNCI	nettleleaf gianthyssop
Agoseris glauca	PNCD	pale agoseris
Allium cernuum	PNCI	nodding onion
A. textile	PNCI	prairie onion
Alyssum alyssoides	ANCV	pale alyssum
A. desertorum	ANCV	desert alvssum
Amaranthus graecizans	ANWV	tumbleweed pigweed
A. retroflexus	AIWV	redroot pigweed
Ambrosia psilostachya	PNWI	western ragweed

lauphalis margantarea	PNC		Camassia quamash	PNC	Leamas
ladensure occulentalis	1 7 6 1		Camelina murocarpa	A 1 G 5	
Incmone patens	PNC	pasquellower	Campunula retundifolia	PNC	
Intennueu puerifloeu	PNC		Cardaria draba	PICS	
L. rawa	PNC		Cardons autums	BICY	
I procenum androsaemi folium	PNW	spreading dogbane	Casulleja angustifolia	PNC	
l. rannabinum	PNW	hemp dogbane	C, flava	PNC	ai minanpaininfiis
Irahis holboellii	BYCI	Holboell rockcress	C. linariaefolia	PNC	Wyoming Indianpainthrush
Iretuum minus	BIWI	burdock	C. sessiliflora	PNGI	- minanpaintprus
Irenaria congesta	PNCI	ballhead sandwort	Centauren maculosa	BIWY	
1. hookeri	PNCI		C. repens	PIWV	
Irgemone intermedia	PNWI	pricklypoppy	C. solstitudis	AIWI	The same of the same of the
1. polvanthemos	1 N W. 1		Cernstium arvense	PNCI	Control of the Contro
Irnica cordifalia	PNCI	heartleaf arnica	Chaenactis alpina	PNCI	
1. fulgens	PNCI		C. douglasii	BNCV	
1. latifolia	PNCI	broadleaf arnica	Chenopoelium album	AIWV	
1. sororia	PNCI		C. glaucum	AIWV	
Artemisia campestris	PNWI	field sagewort	C. leptophyllum	ANWV	
(1. canadensis)			Chorispora tenella	AICV	
A. dracunculus	PNWI	green sagewort	Cicuta bulbifera	PNWI	
4. ludoviciana	PNWI		C. douglasii	PNWI	
Asclepias pumila	PNWI	plains milkweed	Cirsium arvense	PICV	William mrettietillock
A. speciosa	PNWI	showy milkweed	C. foliosum	PNCI	elk thistle
1. svriaca	PNWI	common milkweed	(C. scariosum)		ere mistre
1. verticillata	PNWI	whorled milkweed	C. undulatum	BNWV	wavyleaf thistle
A. viridiflora	PNWI	green milkweed	C. vulgare	BIWV	bull thistle
Aster campestris	PNWI	western meadow aster	Claytonia lanceolata	PNCI	lanceleaf springbeauty
4. conspicuus	PNWI	showy aster	Clematis hirsutissima	PNCI	sugarbowl
4. engelmannii	PNWI	Engelmann aster	Cleome lutea	ANWV	vellow beeplant
A. ericoides	PNWI	manyflowered aster	C. serrulata	ANWV	Rocky Mountain beeplant
1. falcatus	PNWI	creeping white prairie aster .	Clintonia uniflora	PNCI	queencup beadlily
A. laevis	PNWI	smooth aster	Collinsia partiflora	ANCV	blue-eved Mary
1. oblongifolius	PNWI	aromatic aster	Collomia linearis	ANCV	slenderleaf collomia
Astragalus bisulcatus	PNCI	twogrooved milkvetch	Comandra pallida	PNCI	bastard toadflax
A. crassicarpus	PNCD	groundplum milkvetch	(C. umbellata)		Destard Tomuriax
4. drummondii	PNCI	Drummond milkvetch	Conium maculatum .	BIWV	poison hemlock
4. gilriflorus	PNCI	threeleaved milkvetch	Convolvulus arrensis	PIWV	field bindweed
1. lentiginosus	PNCI	speckled loco	Conyea canadensis	ANWV	horseweed
1. miser	PNCI	timber milkvetch	Crepis acuminata	PNCI	tapertip hawksbeard
A. missouriensis	PNCI	Missouri milkvetch	Crypthantha bradburiana	BNCV	minerscandle
1. pectinatus	PNCI	narrowleaf poisonvetch	(C. celosioides)		······································
1. purshii	PNCI	Pursh loco	Cynoglossum officinale	BICV	houndstongue
.4. spatulatus	PNCI	tufted milkvetch	Cypridedium montanum	PNCI	mountain ladyslipper
Arriplex patula	ANWV	spear saltbush	Datura stramonium	ANWV	Jimsonweed
Bahıa oppositifolia	PNCI	plains bahia	Delphinium ajacis	AICV	rocket larkspur
Balsamorhiza incana	PNCI	hoary balsamroot	D. andersonii	PNCI	Andersons larkspur
B. macrophylla	PNCI	cutleaf balsamroot	D. bicolor	PNCI	low larkspur
B. sagutata	PNCI	arrowleaf balsamroot	D. beownii	PNCI	Browns larkspur
Bessera rubea	PNCI	red kittentail	D. burkei	PNCI	Burkes larkspur
Brasuca hirta	AICV	white mustard	D. depauperatum	PNCI	slim larkspur
B. juncea	AICV	India mustard	D. geveri	PNCI	Gever larkspur
B. kaher	AICV	charlock mustard	D. glnucescens	PNCI	glaucus larkspur
B. nigra	AICV	black mustard	D. nelsonii	PNCI	Nelsons larkspur
Brodinen douglasii	PNCD	wild hyacinth	(D. nuttallianum)		
Calochortus elegans	PNCD	northwestern mariposa	D. occidentale	PNCI	tall larkspur
C. macrocarpus	PYCD	sagebrush mariposa	Descurainia pinnata	ANCV	pinnate tansymustard
C. nitidus	PNCD	broadfruit mariposa	Dicentra uniflora	PNCI	steershead
C. nuttallii	PNCD	segolily mariposa	Disporum hooken	PNCI	Hooker fairvhell
Cally pro bulbosa	PNCI	fairy-lipper	D. truchvenepum	PNCI	wartherry fairybell

Dodecuthron panciflorum	PNCI	-hooting star	Hydrophyllum capitatum	PNC	W. 10000000 (a)
Draha reptans	1101		Hymenopappus filifolius		
Echinacea angustifolia	PYWD		Hymenoxys acaulis		ar armemphappus
E. pallida	PYWD		II. ruhurdsonu	PNC	The state of the s
Epdobum angustifolium	PNCI		Hyperamus niger	P / W	The second state of the
Equisetum arrense	PNNI		Il spericum perforatum	BIC	
E. palastre	PIXI		Humna rivularis	PIC	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
Erigeron divergens	BNCV	The state of the s		PNC	
E. pamilus	PNCI		Iris missouriensis Iva axillaris	PNC	
Еподолит аппит	1 VW V	To the state of th		PNW	. bearing an unbacted
E. flavum	PNCI	- Condition of the Cond	1. xanthifolia	ANW	
E. heruclendes	PNCI	vellow buckwheat	lvesia gardonii	PNC	
E. pauciflorum	PNWI	umbrella buckwheat	Kochia scoparia	AIW	
(E. mulaceps)	1 .1 10 1	few-flowered buckwheat	Kuhnia eupatorioides	PNW	Louisian management
E. umbellatum	PNCI	14	Lactura pulchella	PNW	- Commercial Commercia
Errophyllum lanatum		sulfur eriogonum	L. serriola	BIAL	V prickly lettuce
	PNCI	woolly eriophyllum	(L. scarriola)		
Entrichium elongatum (E. namun)	PNC I	alpine forgetmenot	Lappula redowskii	ANC	
			Lathyrus ochroleucus	PNCI	
Erodium cicutarium	AICV	filaree	L. tuberosus	PNCI	
Ervsimum asperum	BNCV	western wallflower .	Lepidium densiflorum	ANCI	prairie pepperweed
Ervihronium grandislorum	PNCI	glacier lily	L. perfoliatum	AICI	
Euphorbia esula	B I C A	leafy spurge	Lesquerella alpina	PNCI	alkaline bladderpod
E. glvptosperma	ANCV	ridgeseed spurge	Leucocrinum montanum	PNCI	common starlily
E. marginala	ANWV	snow-on-the-mountain	Lewisia premaea	PNCI	l lewisia .
Evolvulus nuttallianus	B A M. I	Nuttall evolvulus	L. rediviva	PNCI	bitterroot
(E. pilosus)			Liatris ligulistylis	PNWE	Rocky Mountain gayfeather
Filago arrensis	AIWV	field fluffweed	L. punctata	PNWD	dotted gayfeather
Fragaria vesca	PNCI	woodland strawberry	Lilium philadelphicum	PNCD	
F. virginiana	PNCI	Virginia strawberry	Linaria dalmatica	PIWV	
Frasera speciasa	BNCV	green gentian	L. vulgaris	PIWV	
Fritillaria atropurpurea	PNCD	leopard lily	Linum lewisii	PNCI	
F. pudica	PNCI	vellowbell	L. rigidum	ANCV	
Gaillardia aristata	PNWI	blanketflower	Lithophragma parviflora	PNCI	starflower
Galium bifolium	ANCV	twinleaf bedstraw	Lithospermum incisum	PNCI	
G. boreale	PNWI	northern bedstraw	L. ruderale	PNCI	
G. triflorum	PNWI	sweetscented bedstraw	Lomatium foeniculaceum	PNCI	
Gaura coccineà	PNWI	scarlet gaura	L. orientale	PNCI	eastern lomatium
Gentiana calveosa	PNWI	mountain gentian	L. triternatum	PNCI	nineleaf lomatium
Geranium richardsonii	PNCD	Richardson geranium	Lupinus argenteus	PNCI	silvery lupine
G. viscosissimum	PNCD	sticky geranium	L. caudatus	PNCI	tailcup lupine
Geum triflorum	PNCI	prairiesmoke	L. laxiflorus	PNCI	spur lupine
Gilia aggregata	BNCV	scarlet gilia	L. lepidus	PNCI	Pacific lupine
G. congesta	PNCI	ballhead gilia	L. polyphyllus	PNCI	velvet lupine
Glycyrrhiza lepidota	PNWI	American licorice	L. leucophyllus	PNCI	velvet lupine
Grindelia squarrosa	BNWV	curlycup gumweed	L. polyphyllus	PNCI	
Halogeton glomeratus	AIWV	halogeton	L. pusillus	ANCV	Washington lupine rusty lupine
Haplopappus spinulosus	PNWI	spiny goldenweed	L. sericeus	PNCI	
Hedeoma drummondii	PNCI	false pennyroval	L. sulphureus	PNCI	silky lupine
H. hispida	ANCV	Rough pennyroyal	L. wyethii	PNCI	sulfur lupine
Hedysarum boreale	PNCD	northern sweetvetch	Lygodesmia juncea	PNWI	W veth lupine
Helenium autumnale	PNWI	sneezeweed	Lysichitum americanum	PNCI	rush skeletonweed
Helianthus annuus	ANWV	annual sunflower	Machaeranthera canescens	BNWV	vellow skunkcabbage
H. maximiliani	PNWD	Maximilians sunflower	M. grindelioides		hoary aster
II. petiolaris	ANWV	prairie sunflower	Medicago hispida	PNCI	goldenweed
H. agadus	PNWD	stiff sunflower	M. lupulina	A I C'V	burclover
Heracleum lanatum	PNCD	cow parsnip	M. satira	PICV	black medic
Heterotheca villosa	PYWI		Melilotus alba		alfalfa
Heuchera richardsonii	PNCI	hairy goldenaster	M. officinalis	BICV	white sweetclover
Hieracium albiflorum	PYWD	white hawkweed	Mentha urvensis	BICV	yellow sweetclover
		- mie nawkweed	THE CAPTURES	PNWV	field mint

W spirata	PIW	V spearmint	Rudberkin Inciniata	D 5 19	
Mentzelia decapetala	BNW		R. mendentalis	PYW	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND PARTY OF THE PE
W. laericantis	BYW		Rumey aretasella	PNC	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
Metersia alpina	PNC	I alpine bluebell	R. erispus	PIC	The second second
M. cthata	PNC		Salivoensa rubra	PIC	The state of the s
VI. ublingifulia	PNC		Salsula therieu	114	Wittersmill
Microseris cuspidata	PNC		Salva reflexa	112	
Vicrosteris gracilis	ANGV		S. sylvestas	114.	
Vienulus guttatus	PNWI		Sedium stenopetalum	PIW	
W. lewisii	PNW		Selaginella densa	PNC	- Tonieriop
Virabilis linearis	PNCI		Senecio canus	PNX	- Committee Committee
Vonarda fistulasa	PNCI	TOUT O CIOUR	S. crassulus	PNC	West Maria
Musineon divaricatum	PNCI		S. integerrimus	PNW	THE RICH ELOUTIONS
Wynsotis alpestris	PNCI		S. plattensis	PNC	The Property of
(M. sylvatica)		Tot germenot	Silene acaulis		brann Krodudset
Oenothera albicaulis	ANCV	whitestem eveningprimrose	S. repens	PNC	
O. biennis	BNCV		Sisymbrium altissimum	PNC	about mene
O. raespitosa	PNCI		Sisyrinchium angustifolium	AICY	The second secon
O. nuttallii	PNCI		Sium suave	PNC	The Present
Onobeychis viciaefolia	PICV		Smilacina racemosa	PNWI	memmen waterparship
Orobanche fasciculata	PNCI	clustered broomrape	S. stellata	PNCI	
O. ludoviciana	PNCI	Suksdorf's broomrape	Solanum triflorum	PNCI	and the same
Orthocarpus luteus	ANCV	vellow owlclover	Solidago missouriensis	ANWI	mgmonade
O. tenuifolius	ANCV	thinleaved owlclover	S. mollis	PNWI	
Osmorhiza chilensis	PNCI	mountain sweetroot	S. occidentalis	PNWI	Paracinaa
Oxytropis bessevi	PNCI	Bessey pointvetch	S. rigida	PNWI	Soldeniod
O. lambertii	PNCI	purple pointloco	Sonchus arvensis	B v m. 1	0
O. sericea	PNCI	white pointloco	Sphaeralcea coccinea	PIWV	The state of the s
Paronychia sessilistora	PNCI	stemless nailwort	Stanleya pinnata	PNCI	searrer grovemanow
Pedicularis cystopteridifolia	PNCI	fernleaf lousewort	Stellaria media	PNCI	desert princesplum
P. groenlandica	PNCI	elephanthead	Stephanomeria runcinata	AICV	
Penstemon albidus	PNCI	white penstemon	Suaeda depressa	PNWI	agazir amelettace
P. eriantherus	PNCI	fuzzytongue penstemon	Tanacetum vulgare		
P. nitidus	PNCI	waxleaf penstemon	Taraxacum officinale		
P. procerus	PNCI	littleflower penstemon	Thalictrum occidentale	PICV	dandelion (common)
Perideridia gairdneri	PNWI	yampa	Thermopsis montana	PNCI	western meadowrue
Petalostemon candidum	PNWD	white prairieclover	T. rhombifolia		mountain thermopsis
P. purpureum	PNWD	purple prairiectover	Thlaspi arvense	AICV	prairie thermopsis
Phacelia linearis	ANCV	threadleaf phacelia	Toursendia parri	PNCI	fanweed
Phlox hoodii	PNCI	Hood phlox	Tradescantia bracteata	PNCD	Parry townsendia
P. longifolia	PNCI	longleaf phlox	Tragopogon dubius	BICV	common spiderwort
P. multiflora	PNCI	white phlox	Trifolium longipes	PNCD	salsify
Physalis longifolia	PNWI	groundcherry	Triglochin maritima	PNCI	longstalk clover
Plantago patagonica	ANCV	woolly plantain	T. palustris	PNCI	seaside arrowgrass
(P. purshii)			Trillium ovatum	PNCI	marsh arrowgrass
Polygala alba	PNCI	white milkwort	Typha latifolia	PNCI	Pacific trillium
Polygonum bistortoides	PNCI	American bistort	L'nica dioica	PICV	cattail
Polypodium hesperium	PNSI	polypody	C. urens	AICV	stinging nettle
Potentilla ansenna	PNCI	silverweed cinquefoil	Verstrum californicum	PNCI	
P. glandulosa	PNCI	gland rinquefoil	V. viride	PNCI	California falsehellebore green falsehellebore
P. gracilis	PNCI	northwest cinquefoil	Verbascum thapsus	BIWV	flannel mullein
Psoralea argophylla	PNWI	silverleaf scurfpea	Verbena bracteata	PNWI	bigbract verbena
P. esculenta	PNCD	breadroot scurfpea	Vicin americana	PNCD	American vetch
P. tenuistorn	PNWI	slimflower scurfpea	Viola adunca	PNCI	hook violet
Pteridium aquilinum	PNXI	brackenfern	V. canadensis	PNCI	Canada violet
Pterospora andromedea	PNCI	woodland pinedrops	V. glabella	PNCI	smooth yellow violet
Pyrola asarifolia	PNCI	pink pyrola	V. nuttallii	PYCI	Nuttall violet
Ranunculus gluberrimus	PNCI	sagehrush buttercup	V. orbiculata	PNCI	western roundleaved violet
Rutibula columnifera	PYWI	prairie coneflower	V. septentrionalis	PNCI	northern blue violet
			70. TO SECURE CONTROL S	10.00	marrier in inthe Atolet

W vethia amplexicultis	PNCI	mulesear wyethia	Half-Shrubs, S	Shrubs, T	rees and Vines
W. helianthoides	PACI	white wyethia		mmon Na	
	Vanthium spinosum A I W V spiny cocklebus				mej
X. strumarium	AVWV	cocklebur			
Xerophyllum tenax	PNCI	beargrass			
Zvgadenus elegans	PNCI	mountain deathcamas			ż
Z. paniculatus	PNCI	foothill deathcamas		.5.	1011
Z. renenosus	PNCI	meadow deathcamas		8.5 8	· 45
(Z. intermedius)		in and drain amas		PNCI	5
			Alderleaf buckthorn	PNCI	Rhamaus alnifolia
			Alpine larch	PNXX	Lanx (valla
			American elm	PNCD	Ulmus americana
			American kochia	PNWI	Kochia americana
			American plum	PNCD	Prunus americana
			Big -agebrush	PNWI	Artemisia tridentata
			Birchleaf mountainmahogany	PNCD	
			Birdfoot sagebrush	PNWI	Artemisia pedatifida
+			Bitterbrush	PNCD	Purshia tridentata
			Bitter cherry	PNCI	Prunus emarginata
			Black cottonwood	PNCD	
			Black elderberry	PNCD	Populus trichocarpa
(C	Cactus ommon Nar	mal	3250		Sambucus melanocarpa (S. racemosa)
10	ommon Har	nej	Black hawthorn	PNCI	Crataegus douglasii
			Black sagebrush	PNWI	Artemisia nova
		\$	Blue elderberry	PNCD	Sambucus caerulea
	1113	5	Boxelder	PNCD	Acer negundo
	2.00	No.	Broom snakeweed	PNWI	Xanthocephalum sarothra
8	W. W. B. W.	7	Bud sagebrush	PNCI	Artemisia spinescens
	3 3 3 5 G		Cascara buckthorn	PNCD	Rhamnus purshiana
Brittle pricklypear	PNCI		Chokecherry	PNCD	Prunus virginiana
Pink pincushion cactus	PNCI	Opuntia fragilis	Columbia hawthorn	PNCI	Cratargus columbiana
Pricklypear	PNCI	Mammillaria vivipara	Common juniper	PNXX	Juniperus communis
Yellow pincushion cactus	PNCI	Opuntia polvacantha	Common snowberry	PNCI	Symphonicarpos albus
renow pineusnion caetus	PACI	Mammillaria missouriensis	Coralberry	PNCI	
			Creeping juniper	PXXX	Symphoricarpos orbiculatu
			Curlleaf mountainmahogany	PNCD	Juniperus horizontalis
			Devilselub	PNCI	Cercocarpus ledifolius
			Douglas fir	PNXX	Oplopanax horridum
			Engelmann spruce	PNXX	Pseudotsuga menziesii
			Fourwing saltbush		Picea engelmannii
			Fringed sagewort	PNWD	Atriplex canescens
			Golden currant	PNWI	Artemisia frigida
			Grand fir	PNCI	Ribes aureum
			Granite gilia	PNXX	Abies grandis
			Gray horsebrush	PNCI	Leptodactylon pungens
	Cactus		Grasewood	PNWI	Tetradymia canescens
				PNCD	Sarcobatus vermiculatus
(Sci	entific Nam	ie)	Green ash	PNCD	Fraxinus pennsylvanica
			Green rabbitbrush	PNWI	Chrysothamnus viscidifloru
			Grouse whortleberry	PNCI	Vaccinium scoparium
		d d	Kinnikinnick	PNCI	Arctostaphylos uva-ursi
	£ .	ζ	Limber pine	PNXX	Pinus flexilis
	4 5 8 2		Lodgepole pine	PNXX	Pinus contorta
	9 2 9 "		Low sagebrush	PNWI	Artemisia arbuscula
	S. S		Mountain ash	PNCI	Sorbus scopulina
	PNCI	yellow pincushion caetus	Mountain boxelder	PYCI	Alnus sinuata
Aammillaria missouriensis					
1. vivipara	PNCI	pink pincushion cactus	Mountain hemlock	P 1 1 1	I suga mertenuana
	PNCI	pink pincushion cactus brittle pricklypear	Mountain spiraea	PNXX	Tsuga mertensiana Spiraea splendens

Myetle pachistima Myetle whottleberry Aartowleaf cottonwood Ninebark Nootka rose Nottall saltbush Oceanspray Oregongrape Pacific yew Paper birch Pin cherry Pink spiraea	PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI	Vaccinium mortillas Papulus angustifolia Physicurpus mulericeus Rosa autkana Uriples nuttallii (1. gardnerii) Holodiscus discolor Becheris repens Taxus heerifolia Betula papyrifera	Whiteback pine Whiteback caspber White elematis White spiraea White sprace Whitestemmed goo Whortleleaf snowb Willow Winterfat
Narrowleaf cottonwood Ninebark Noorka rose Notall saltbush Oregongrape Pacific yew Paper birch Pin cherry Pink spraea	PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI	Populus angustifolia Phs sucurpus malvaceus Rosa authano tripler nuttallii (1. gardnerii) Holodiscus discolor Berberts repens Traxus hervifolia Betula papyrifera	White elematis White mountain a White spirace White spruce Whitestemmed goo Whortleleaf snowb Willow Winterfat
Oceanspray Oregongrape Partific yew Paper birch Pin cherry Pink spiraea	PNCI PNWD PNCI PNCD PNCI PNCI PNCD PNCI	Physicutpus multaceus Rosa autkana triplex nuttallii ( 1. gardnerii) Halodiscus discolor Berberis repens Taxus beerifolia Betula papyrifera	White mountain a White spiraca White spruce Whitestemmed goo Whortleleaf snowb Willow Winterfat
Nootka rose Nortall saltbush Oreanspray Oregongrape Pacific yew Paper birch Pin cherry Pink spiraea	PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI	Rosa nutkana Uriplex nuttallii ( 1. gardneni) Ulalodiscus discolor Berberis repens Taxus berrifolia Betula papyrifera	White spiraca White spruce Whitestemmed goo Whortleleaf snowb Willow Winterfat
Oreanspray Oregongrape Pacific yew Paper birch Pin cherry Pink spraea	PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI	tiriplex nuttallii ( 1. gardnerii) Hulodiscus discolor Berberis repens Tuxus herrifolia Betula papyrifera	White sprure Whitestemmed goo Whortleleaf snowb Willow Winterfat
Oregongrape Partfir yew Paper birch Pin cherry Pink spiraea	PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCD	( 1. gardnerii) Holodiscus discolor Berbens repens Tuxus beevifolia Betuln papyrifern	Whitestemmed goo Whortleleaf snowb Willow Winterfat
Oregongrape Partfir yew Paper birch Pin cherry Pink spiraea	PNC D PNC I PNC I PNC D PNC I	Holodiscus discolor Berberis repens Taxus beevifolia Betula papyrifera	Whortleleaf snowb Willow Winterfat
Oregongrape Partfir yew Paper birch Pin cherry Pink spiraea	PNC D PNC I PNC I PNC D PNC I	Berberis repens Taxus heevifolia Betula papyrifera	Willow Winterfat
Pacific yew Paper birch Pin cherry Pink spiraea	PNCI PNCI PNCI	Taxus brevifolia Betula papyrifera	Winterfat
Pin cherry Pink spiraea	PNCI PNCD PNCI	Betula papyrifera	
Pin cherry Pink spiraea	PNCD		Woods rose
Pink spiraea	PNCI		Yellow mountainhe
		Prunus pensylvanica	
Plains cottonwood		Spirnen douglassi	
Poison ivv	PNCI	Populus deltoides	
Ponderosa pine	PNXX	Rhus radicans	
Prairie rose	PNCI	Pinus ponderosa	
Princes pine pipsissewa	PNCI	Rosa arkansana	
Quaking aspen	PNCD	Chimaphila umbellata	Half-Sh
Red mountainheath	PNCI	Populus tremuloides	
Redosier dogwood	PNCD	Phyllodoce empetriformis	
Red raspberry	PNCI	Cornus stolonifera	
Redstem ceanothus	PNCD	Rubus idaeus	
Rock clematis	PNCI	Cennothus sanguineus	
Rocky Mountain juniper	PNXX	Clematis columbiana	
Rocky Mountain maple	PNCD	Juniperus scopulorum	
Rubber rabbitbrush	PNWI	Acer glabrum	
Russet buffaloberry	PNCI	Chrysothamnus nausrosus	Abies grandis
Russian olive	PICV	Shepherdia canadensis	A. lasiocarpa
Serviceberry	PNCD	Elnengnus angustifolia	Acer glabrum
Shadscale	PNCI	Amelanchier alnifolia	A. negundo
Shrubby cinquefoil	PNCI	Atriplex confertifolia	Alnus sinuata
Silverberry	PNCI	Potentilla fruticosa	A. tenuifolia
Silver buffaloberry	PNCI	Elaengnus commutata	(.1. incana)
Silver sagebrush	PNWI	Shepherdia argentea Artemisia cana	Amelanchier alnifolia
Skunkbush sumac	PNCD	Rhus trilobata	Arctostaphylos uva-ur
Slenderbrush eriogonum	PNCD	Eriogonum microthecum	Artemisia arbuscula
Smooth sumac	PNCD	Rhus glabra	.t. cana
Snowbrush ceanothus	PNCI	Cennothus velutinus	A. frigida
Soapweed	PNCI	Yucca glauca	A. nova
	PNCI	Ribes cereum	A. pedatifida
Sticky currant	PNCI	Ribes viscosissimum	1. spinescens
Subalpine fir	PNXX	Abies Insiocarpa	A. tridentata
Syringa	PNCI	Philadelphus lewisii	A. tripartita
	PNCI	Rubus parviflorus	Atriplex canescens
	PNCI	Alnus tenuifolia	A. confertifolia
		(A. incana)	A. nuttallii
Thinleaved huckleberry	PNCI	L'accinium membranaceum	(A. gardnerii)
	PNWI	Artemisia tripartita	Berberis repens
Twinberry honeysuckle	PNCI	Lonicera involucrata	Betula occidentalis
Twinflower	PNCI	Linnaea horralis	B. papyrifera
	NCI	Lonicera utahensis	Ceanothus sanguineus
tah juniper . F		Juniperus osteosperma	C. velutinus
Water birch F		Betula occidentalis	Ceratoides lanata
Western hemlock P		Tsuga heterophylla	Cercocarpus ledifolius
		Larix occidentalis	С. топиализ
Vestern redeedar P		Thuja plicata	Chimaphila umbellata
Vestern snowberry P		Symphoricaepos occidentalis	Chrysothamnus nauscos
		Pinus manticola	C. viscidiflorus

Whitebark pine	PYXX	Pinus albernalis
Whiteback raspberry	PNCI	Rubus Intendermis
White clematis	PNWI	Clematic linguisticifolia
White mountain avens	PNCI	Devas octopetala
White spiraea	PNCI	Spiraen betulifolia
White spruce	PNXX	Picen glauca
Whitestemmed gooseberry	PNCI	Ribes inerme
Whortleleaf snowberry	PNCI	Symphoricarpas areophilus
Willow	PNCD	Salix spp.
Winterfat	PNWD	Cerntoides lanata
Woods rose	PNCI	Rasa woodsii
Yellow mountainheath	PNCI	Phyllodoce glanduliflora

### Half-Shrubs, Shrubs, Trees and Vines (Scientific Name)

PNXX grand fir
PNXX subalpine fir
PNCD Rocky Mountain maple
boxelder
PNCI mountain boxelder
thiologicalder PNCI thinleaf alder PNCD serviceberry
PNCI Kinnikinnick
PNWI low sagebrus
PNWI silver sagebru
PNWI fringed sagew Kinnikinnick low sagebrush silver sagebrush fringed sagewort black sagebrush PNWI bird foot sagebrush PNCI bud sagebrush PNWI PNWI PNWD PNCI PNWD big sagebrush threetip sagebrush fourwing saltbush shadscale Nuttall saltbush Oregongrape PNCD PNCI PNCI PNCI PNCI PNWD PNCD water birch paper birch redstem ceanothus snowbrush ceanothus winterfat curlleaf mountainmahogany birchleaf mountainmahogany PNCD PNCI princes pine pipsissewa PNWI rubber rabbitbrush PNW I green rabbithrush

Clematis columbiana	PNCI	rock elematis	Pseudotsign menziesi	PNXX	Douglas fir
C. lingusticifolia	6 1 M. I	white clematis	Purshia tridentata	PNCD	bitterbrush
Cornus solonifera	PNCD	Redusier dogwood	Rhamaus alnifolia	PNCI	ablerleaf buckthorn
Crataegus columbiana	PACI	Columbia hawthorn	R. purshiana	PNCD	cascara buckthorn
C. douglasti	PNCI	black hawthorn	Rhus ylahen	PNCD	smooth surnac
Devas octopetala	PNCI	white mountain avens	R. endicans	PYCI	poison (vv
Eluragaus angustifolia	PICV	Russian olive	R. trilobata	PNCD	skunkbush sumae
E. commutata	PNCI	silverberry	Ribes aureum	PNCI	golden currant
Eriogonum microthecum	PNCD	slenderbrush eriogonum	R. cereum	PNCI	squaw currant
Frazinus pennsylvanica	PNCD	green ash	R. inerme	PNCI	whitestemmed gooseberr
Holodiscus discolor	PNCI	oceanspray	R. riscosissimum	PNCI	sticky current
Juniperus communis	PNXX	rommon juniper	Rosa arkansana	PNCI	prairie rose
J. horizontalis	PNXX	creeping juniper	R. nutkana	PNCI	nootka rose
J. astensperma	PNXX	Utah juniper	R. woodsu	PNCI	Woods rose
J. scopulorum	PNXX	Rocky Mountain juniper	Rubus idaeus	PNCI	red raspherry
Kochia americana	PNWI	American kochia	R. leucodermis	PNCI	whitebark raspberry
Larix Ivallii	PNXX	alpine larch	R. partiflorus	PNCI	thimbleberry
1. occidentalis	PNXX	western larch	Salix spp.	PNCD	willow
Leptodactylon pungens	PNCI	granite gilia	Sambucus coerulea	PNCD	
Linnaea borealis	PNCI	twinflower	5. melanocarpa	PNCD	blue elderberry
Lonicera involucrata	PNCI	twinberry honeysuckle	(S. racemosa)	r.v.c.b	black elderberry
L. utahensis	PNCI	Utah honeysuckle	Sarcobatus vermiculatus	PNCD	1 miles (1980) (1980)
Oplopanax horridum	PNCI	devilselub	Shepherdia argentea	PNCI	greasewood
Pachistima myrsinites	PNCD	myrtle pachistima	S. canadensis	PNCI	silver buffaloberry
Philadelphus lewisii	PNCI	syringa	Sorbus scopulina		russet buffaloberry
Phyllodoce empetriformis	PNCI	red mountainheath	Spiraea betulifolia	PNCI	mountain ash
P. glanduliflora	PNCI	yellow mountainheath	S. douglasii	PNCI	white spiraea
Physocarpus malvaceus	PNCI	ninebark	S. splendens	PNCI	pink spiraea
Picea glauca	PNXX	white spruce	(S. densifolia)	PACI	mountain spiraea
P. engelmannii	PNXX	Engelmann spruce	Symphoricarpos albus	D N C 1	
Pinus albicaulis	PNXX	whitebark pine	S. occidentalis	PNCI	common snowberry
P. contorta	PNXX	lodgepole pine	S. orbiculatus	PNCI	western snowberry
P. flexilis	PNXX	limber pine		PNCI	coralberry
P. monticola	PNXX	western white pine	S. oreophilus	PNCI	whortleleaf snowberry
P. ponderosa	PNXI	ponderosa pine	Taxus brevifolia	PNCI	Pacific yew
Populus angustifolia	PNCD	narrowleaf cottonwood	Tetradymia canescens	PNWI	gray horsebrush
P. deltoides	PNCD	-plains cottonwood	Thuja plicata	PNXX	western redcedar
P. tremuloides	PNCD	quaking aspen	Tsugn heterophylla	PNXX	western hemlock
P. trichocarpa	PNCD	black cottonwood	T. mertensiana	PNXX	mountain hemlock
Potentilla fruticosa	PNCI	shrubby cinquefoil	Clmus americana	PNCD	American elm
Prunus americana	PNCD	American plum	Vaccinium membranaceum	PNCI	thinleaved huckleberry
P. emarginala	PNCI		V. myrtillus	PNCI	myrtle whortleberry
P. pensylvanica	PNCD	bitter cherry	V. scoparium	PNCI	grouse whortleberry
P. virginiana	PNCD	pin cherry	Xanthocephalum sarothrae	PNWI	broom snakeweed
	L'ACD	chokecherry	Yucca glauca	PNCI	soapweed